


## 3x3haus

Project Intent

[^0]

Cyclical Sustainable

| The project brings a clear focus to the beneftis of prefabrication inarchitectural design to develop heatiny comfortable carbon positive housing to AustranaReplacing the conventions of concrete and stee construction as these methods are responsible to largest amount of carbon dioxide to the atmosphere Utilizing CLT as the primary superstructure for the apartment modules has multiple environmental benefits through its storage of CO 2 in its celluar structure, as well as its flexibility in the fabrication process, especially through the implementation of robotics and CNC CAD technologies. |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
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he modul can be clearly examined through yataloging of rooms and spaces to formuate<br>camolete home. The moduls are not restritivie in<br>access to natural sunight and cross ventilation The<br>integrated balcony doubles as shading device that blocks out harsh sunlight from the summer solstice<br><br>sostree light to fill he main ling space of the apartmer<br>promote cross ventilation from the bedroom through to<br>Wall<br>The modules feature an innovative external claddira system comprised of ONC Cut timber cassettes whic attach to the external face of the CLT wall panels<br>attach to the external face of the CLT wall panels to create a more precise, and systematic approach<br>Create a more precise, and systematic approach to<br>and costed. This system allows for multiple extern cladding options, and doesnt init the module into state of repettion but provide them withauni enest
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LIVING ROOM
Hardwood Timber
Screed Ruber flooring
$49.30 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$

Module Type: 2A
2 Bed +1 Bath Module Type: 2A
2 Bed + 1 Bath

$\xrightarrow{\text { Sale }} \quad 1.500$ A3
$\bar{A}-08$
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Module Connection
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ROTHOBLAAS TTF200
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| Name | 2 Sash Fixed Window | Fixed Window 1 | Awning Window 1 | 2 Sash Sliding Window | Fixed WWindow 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ID | W1 | W | W | W | W |
| W $\times H$ | $500 \times 500$ | $1000 \times 2400$ | $750 \times 1900$ | $1500 \times 1900$ | $750 \times 1900$ |
| Sill Height | 2400 | 0 | 475 | 485 | 475 |
| Head Height | 2900 | 2400 | 2415 | 2400 | 2415 |



| Name | Fixed Window 3 | 2 Sash Sliding Window 2 | Fixed Window 4 | 2 Sash Sliding Window 3 | Awning Window 2 | Awning Window 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1{ }^{10}$ | w6 | w7 | w8 | w9 | w10 | W11 |
| w $\times$ H | $1000 \times 1200$ | $1400 \times 600$ | $2385 \times 1970$ | $2415 \times 880$ | $850 \times 1650$ | $1180 \times 1500$ |
| Sill Height | 1120 | 2200 | 990 | 1975 | 1030 | 1030 |
| Head Height | 2320 | 2800 | 2960 | 2960 | 2680 | 2880 |


| View |  | $\square \square$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3D Preview |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Fleetwood Project Budget Evaluation

This report submission contains the following for the budget evaluation:

1. Approximate Estimation
2. Preliminary Estimation
2.1. Key Considerations for the Preliminary Estimation

## 1 Approximate Estimation

The following previous projects are used to assist in the calculation of the approximate cost:

| Project | No. Floor Levels | No. Apartments | Project Cost | Adjustment Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brighton Road <br> Apartments | 3 | 12 | $\$ 1.85 \mathrm{~m}$ | 1.00 |
| The Marc | 4 | 43 | $\$ 9.90 \mathrm{~m}$ | 0.20 |
| Grosvenor Street <br> Apartment | 3 | 13 | $\$ 3.00 \mathrm{~m}$ | 0.90 |
| Orrong Road <br> Apartments | 3 | 10 | $\$ 2.00 \mathrm{~m}$ | 1.20 |

Table 1: Previous projects features key features and construction costs
Approximation estimate calculation is performed as shown below:

| Project | Adjusted <br> Project Cost | Year | Time Conversion <br> Index Dec 2020 | Time Converted <br> Cost | Total Area <br> $\left(\mathbf{m}^{2}\right)$ | Rate/m $\mathbf{m}^{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brighton Road Apartments | $\$ 1.85 \mathrm{~m}$ | 2016 | 1.17 | $\$ 2,917,965$ | 512 | $\$ 4,217$ |
| The Marc | $\$ 1.98 \mathrm{~m}$ | 2016 | 1.17 | $\$ 2,311,028$ | 1050 | $\$ 2,201$ |
| Grosvenor Street Apartment | $\$ 2.70 \mathrm{~m}$ | 2014 | 1.22 | $\$ 3,283,660$ | 752 | $\$ 4,367$ |
| Orrong Road Apartments | $\$ 2.40 \mathrm{~m}$ | 2004 | 1.74 | $\$ 4,172,904$ | 1207 | $\$ 3,457$ |


| Approximation Cost $\left(\mathrm{rate} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | $\$ 3,443$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Fleetwood Project Area $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | 1000 |
| Fleetwood Project <br> Approximate Cost | $\$ 3,443,421$ |

Table 2: Cost comparison of similar past projects for the year 2020
The approximation rate is calculated using the following formula:

$$
\text { Approximate Cost }=\frac{A+4 B+C}{6} \times \text { Site Area }=\$ 3,443 / m^{2}
$$

Where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\text { minimum rate of previous similar project }=\$ 2201 / \mathrm{m}^{2} \\
& B=\text { average rate of previous similar project }=\$ 3561 / \mathrm{m}^{2} \\
& C=\text { maximum rate of previous similar project }=\$ 4217 / \mathrm{m}^{2} \\
& \text { Site Area }=1000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

This gives the Fleetwood Project Approximation Cost =\$3.4M

## 2 Preliminary Estimate

The preliminary estimate for the Fleetwood Project will include the following elements and subelements:

- Preliminaries
- Substructure
- Site Clearance
- Earthworks
- Foundations
- Superstructure
- Upper Floors
- Staircase
- Roof/ Ceiling
- External Walls
- Windows
- External Doors
- Internal Walls
- Internal Doors
- Balcony
- Lift
- Internal Finishes
- Walls
- Floor
- Ceilings
- Fixtures and fittings
- Services
- Plumbing
- Mechanical
- Fire
- Electrical
- External works
- Site Organisation
- Drainage
- Minor Works
- Contingency

Budget Evaluation
25/04/20

The overall Fleetwood Project Preliminary Cost $=\mathbf{\$ 3 . 9 M}$
The preliminary cost summary is found in the table 3 along with the percentage breakdown in figure 1 . The cost is broken down into the key elements of the project.

| Element | Estimated Value | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Preliminaries | $\$ 432,582$ | $12.8 \%$ |
| Substructure | $\$ 97,750$ | $2.9 \%$ |
| Superstructure | $\$ 1,925,354$ | $57.1 \%$ |
| Internal Finishes | $\$ 220,524$ | $6.5 \%$ |
| Fixtures \& Fittings | $\$ 139,750$ | $4.1 \%$ |
| Services | $\$ 490,500$ | $14.5 \%$ |
| External Works | $\$ 10,000$ | $0.3 \%$ |
| Contingency | $\$ 55,500$ | $1.6 \%$ |
| SUB-TOTAL | $\$ 3,371,960$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| 10\% GST | $\mathbf{\$ 3 3 7 , 1 9 6}$ |  |
| OVERHEADS \& PROFIT | $\$ \mathbf{2 3 6 , 0 3 7}$ |  |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{\$ 3 , 9 4 5 , 1 9 3}$ |  |

Table 3: Preliminary cost summary


Figure 1: Elemental cost breakdown
Approximation Estimate and the Preliminary Estimate has a price difference of 12.7\%. This difference is deemed within acceptable range for pricing.

### 2.1 Key Considerations for the Preliminary Estimation

## i. Superstructure

"Window and door elements a design specifically for the Fleetwood Project therefore these size ranges are not found in construction cost guides. These price rates are determined by taking the face area of the door or window designed by the Architect and selecting a rate from the construction guides with a similar area."
"The walls square meter quantities are determined using the height of the walls as 3.4 m ."
"Glasswool insulation was used in the pricing as an alternative to woodfibre insulation due to the significantly high difference in price rates"

## ii. Internal Finishes

"The plasterboard for the internal walls and ceiling is priced with a fire-rated material has is used to consider for fire design and protection of the CLT panels."

## iii. Fixtures \& Fittings

"The fixtures for the modular buildings consider all fixed wardrobes, kitchen units, bathroom suites, plugs and sockets that are present in the apartment designs identified in the Architects plan view drawings. Fittings such as curtain rails, mirrors and aerial tv/satellite dishes are also considered for pricing."

## iv. Services

"Services considered for pricing include the installation and delivery of plumbing, fire, mechanical and electrical works for the modular buildings."

## v. Preliminaries

Preliminaries are any in-direct cost involved during the construction works. An allowance for $\mathbf{1 5 \%}$ of the direct costs makes up $\mathbf{\$ 4 3 2 , 5 8 2}$ for these preliminaries.

## vi. Contingency

"Due to unforeseen events that could occur during construction, contingency costs are included in the Fleetwood Project estimation. Contingencies on the project make up $\mathbf{\$ 5 5 , 5 0 0}$ of the estimation which is considered acceptable given the size and complexity of the project."

## i. Overheads \& Profits

"An allowance of 7\% for overheads and profits has been added to the preliminary estimate."

## Design Calculation Summary

The following is a summary of results proving the validity of the CLT modular design. For full detailed calculations refer to the detailed design calculations report. This report is intended to be a fully comprehensive document detailing the significant findings of the detailed calculations report.

## Floor Design Vertical Loading

The Floor is subject to vertical gravity loads and lateral loads caused from wind and earthquake actions. A CL5/225 panel was chosen as the floor and ceiling panel of the modular buildings. The size was chosen in consideration of the manufacturers (Xlam) span charts and Wood Solutions design guide 46 appropriate span to depth ratio of 25 . Since the maximum span of the panel is $5.4 \mathrm{~m}, 5400 / 25 \approx 225 \mathrm{~mm}$.

The maximum Vertical pressure on a floor panel is:
G=4.6kPa - Ceiling + Floor of the level above $+2 x$ SDL
and
$\mathrm{Q}=1.5 \mathrm{kPa}-\mathrm{AS} / \mathrm{NZS}$ 1170.1 Table 3.1, A

Floors must be designed to satisfy three performance criteria's:

- Deflection Performance
- Dynamic Performance
- Strength Performance
- Bending Capacity
- Shear Capacity


## Deflection Performance

Deflection Performance Checks that L/ $\Delta>300$.
Deflection is a serviceability limit state and therefore subject to load case $G=4.6 \mathrm{kpa}$.
$\Delta \max (\mathrm{mm})=9.62 \mathrm{~mm}$
L/ $\Delta=561>300$ therefore Ok

## Dynamic Performance

Dynamic Performance checks the deflection of the floor under a 1 KN force does not exceed 1.5 mm , the dynamic frequency is no less than 8 Hz and that the acceleration criteria is greater than 13.
$\Delta_{1 \mathrm{KN}}=0.31 \mathrm{~mm}<1.5 \mathrm{~mm} \therefore \mathrm{ok}$
$\mathrm{F} 1=16 \mathrm{~Hz}>8 \mathrm{~Hz}: \mathrm{ok}$
37 > 13 :ok

## Strength Performance - Bending \& Shear Capacity

The bending strength is determined considering ultimate limit state action. The bending capacity of the floor must be greater than the applied action $\phi \mathrm{M}>\mathrm{M}^{*}$.

Load Case 3 was found to be the most critical condition giving the load combination factor of:

- $1.2 \mathrm{G}+1.5 \psi \mathrm{IQ}$
- Meaning $\mathrm{W}^{*}=7.77 \mathrm{kPa}$
$\mathrm{M}^{*}(\mathrm{KNm})=23.40$
$\phi \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{KNm})=79.84$
$\phi M>M^{*}$. CL5/225 satisfies the Bending Strength criteria.

The shear strength is determined considering ultimate limit state action. The shear capacity of the floor must be greater than the applied action $\phi \mathrm{V}>\mathrm{V}^{*}$.
$\mathrm{V}^{*}(\mathrm{KN})=17.33$
$\phi \mathrm{VL}(\mathrm{KN})=574.99$
$\phi V R(K N)=795.97$
$\phi V>N^{*}$ : CL5/225 satisfies the Shear Strength criteria.

## Floor Design Lateral Loading

Both Wind and Earthquake actions were calculated in consideration of the lateral loading with earthquake being the most significant.
$\mathrm{W}^{*}(\mathrm{KN} / \mathrm{m})=16.54$ Line Load
V* $(\mathrm{KN})=89.34$ Earthquake Action
$M^{*}(K N m)=$ 241.21 Earthquake Action
$\varphi \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{KNm})=1071$ Bending Capacity
$\varphi M>M^{*}$ : CL5/225 satisfies the Bending Strength criteria.
$\varphi f s(\mathrm{MPa})=0.96$ Shear Capacity along joints
$\tau_{0,90}(\mathrm{MPa})=0.367 \mathrm{E}-3$ Shear stress along joints
$\tau_{\text {TD }}(\mathrm{MPa})=0.074$ Torsional Shear Strength
$\varphi f s>\tau_{0,90}: C L 5 / 225$ satisfies the Shear Stress along joints
$\varphi \mathrm{fS}>\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{TD}}:$ CL5/225 satisfies the Torsional Shear Capacity

## Wall Design Vertical Loading

From axial gravity loads applied to a wall, it is susceptible to Compressive failure, bending failure due to eccentricity, bearing failure, combined actions failure and excessive wall shortening and differential deflections. A CL3/125 CLT panel was chosen when considering the Xlam manufactures design guide Table 12. A 125 mm thick panel was chosen as an estimated reduction of it's capacity by $20 \%$ still yielded enough strength to support the estimated loading of $200 \mathrm{KN} / \mathrm{m}$.

The most critical Vertical loading on a wall panel was determined from load case 3 to be:

- $\quad P_{G}=52.10 \mathrm{KN} / \mathrm{m}$ and 50.40 KN
- $P_{Q}=16.90 \mathrm{KN} / \mathrm{m}$ and 21.87 KN


## Axial Compressive Capacity

The Axial Capacity for Compressive design loads must satisfy $\varphi \mathrm{Nc}>\mathrm{N}^{*}$.
$\varphi \mathrm{Nc}(\mathrm{KN} / \mathrm{m})=72.66$
$\varphi N c>N^{*}:$ CL3/125 satisfies the Compressive Strength criteria.
Axial Bending Capacity (Eccentricity)
Calculate the panels Bending Capacity for potential eccentric loading conditions. The Bending Capacity for eccentric design loads must satisfy $\varphi \mathrm{M}>\mathrm{M}^{*}$.
$M^{*}=N x$ et
Where et is the position of eccentric loading $=\mathrm{tp} / 15=8.33 \mathrm{~mm}$
$\mathrm{M}^{*}(\mathrm{KNm})=0.61$
$\varphi \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{KNm})=17.28$
$\varphi \mathrm{M}>\mathrm{M}^{*} . \mathrm{CL} 3 / 125$ satisfies the Bending Strength criteria.

## Combined Action check (Compression and Bending)

Combined bending and compression members shall be proportioned to satisfy the follow equations.
Check $1=\left(\frac{M *}{\phi \mathrm{Mb}}\right)^{2}+\frac{N *}{\phi N c} \leq 1$
and

Check $2=\left(\frac{M *}{\phi \mathrm{Mb}}\right)+\frac{N *}{\phi N c} \leq 1$
Check $1=0.3<1$
Check $2=0.33<1$

## Bearing Capacity check

Calculate loaded cross-sectional area of elements, perpendicular to grain in story. Perpendicular to grain crushing is a strength test, but as the wood crushes, it still transmits loads of bearings and seldom causes any collapse. As the crushing continues, the structure deforms, so bearing perpendicular to grain is pragmatically a matter of serviceability rather than a matter of energy. This must also be measured as a strength test to ensure conformity with AS 1720.1.
$\varphi \mathrm{Nb}=\varphi \mathrm{b}^{*} \mathrm{k} 1^{*} \mathrm{k} 4^{*} \mathrm{k} 6^{*} \mathrm{k} 7^{*} \mathrm{f}^{\prime} \mathrm{p}^{*} \mathrm{Api}$
$\varphi \mathrm{Nb}(\mathrm{KN})=963.87$
$N^{*}(K N)=74.4$
$\varphi N b>N^{*}: C L 3 / 125 \& C L 5 / 225$ satisfies the Bearing Strength criteria.

## Vertical Wall Settlements

Axial shortening of a building is a long-term problem. Therefore, all loads considered in the estimation of shortening are long-term gravity loads. It is a serviceability issue and uses a load combination $G$.

In the case of this 4 -story apartment building, settlement was calculated for the critical 3 walls at every level with the highest two walls being compared against eachother.

The total settlement per level is equal to $\delta \mathrm{t}=\delta_{\mathrm{s}, 1}+\delta_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{p}}+\delta_{\mathrm{c}, 1}+\delta_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{p}}+\delta_{\mathrm{j}}$

This is an addition of:

- Shrinkage parallel and perpendicular to grain;
- $\delta_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{l}}=\mathrm{up}^{*} \Delta \mathrm{mc}^{*} \mathrm{~L}$
- $\delta_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{p}}=\mathrm{up}^{*} \Delta \mathrm{mc}{ }^{*} \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{p}}$
- deformation and creep parallel and perpendicular to grain;
- $\delta \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{l}=\sum_{\text {floors }} \frac{j_{2} N_{c, i} L_{i}}{E_{i} A_{p, i}}$
- $\delta \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{p}=\sum_{\text {floors }} \frac{j_{2} N_{c, i} d_{2, i}}{E_{i} A_{p, i}}$
- settlement of the joints
- $\quad \delta_{\mathrm{j}}=\mathrm{n}_{\text {joints }} * \delta_{\text {gaps }}$

The total and differential settlement is shown in the table below.

|  | Differential Settlement |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Wall 1 סtotal (mm) | Wall 2 Stotal (mm) | Wall 3 Stotal (mm) | $\Delta \delta$ Max |  |
| Third Level | 5.64 | 4.99 | 5.01 | 0.65 |  |
| Second Level | 8.33 | 9.00 | 7.36 | 1.63 |  |
| First Level | 9.61 | 11.53 | 8.61 | 2.92 |  |
| Ground Level | 10.88 | 14.07 | 9.89 | 4.18 |  |

Table 1: Total Settlement of each wall and highest differential settlement

## Wall Design Lateral Loading

Walls are subjected to wind and earthquake actions with the larger being the case considered for lateral design.

## Relative Stiffness and Centre of Stiffness

Before you can calculate how the design actions affect an individual element, the stiffness of each element and the stiffness of the element with reference to the entire structure must be carried out in order to asses what percentage of the applied load acts on the elements.

| Wall <br> Length <br> (mm) | $\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{mm})$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 12000 | 44690.51 |
| 10400 | 40427.11 |
| 6000 | 24424.63 |
| 5400 | 18933.38 |
| 4400 | 12813.24 |
| 4000 | 11603.40 |

Table 2: Stiffness for each wall panel
As each floor has a different configuration, table 3 shows the different floor stiffness for x and y direction walls.

| Level 3 Kx <br> $(\mathbf{N} / \mathbf{m m})$ | Level 3 Ky <br> $(\mathbf{N} / \mathbf{m m})$ | Level 2 Kx <br> $(\mathbf{N} / \mathbf{m m})$ | Level 2 Ky <br> $(\mathbf{N} / \mathbf{m m})$ | Level 2 Kx <br> $(\mathbf{N} / \mathbf{m m})$ | Level 2 Ky <br> $(\mathbf{N} / \mathbf{m m})$ | Level 1 Kx <br> $(\mathbf{N} / \mathbf{m m})$ | Level 1 Ky <br> $(\mathbf{N} / \mathbf{m m})$ | Ground <br> Kx <br> $(\mathbf{N} / \mathbf{m m})$ | Ground <br> Ky <br> $(\mathbf{N} / \mathbf{m m})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 178536.76 | 208102.02 | 346623.70 | 202981.33 | 346623.70 | 202981.33 | 346623.70 | 202981.33 | 346623.70 | 202981.33 |

Table 3: Total floor stiffness in the x and y direction

## Wind \& Earthquake Loading

From a thorough analysis of the different load cases, the table below is the finding of the most critical wind actions in the x and y direction applied at each floor level.

| Floor | $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{m}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{m}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{( \mathbf { k N } )}$ | $\left.\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{( k N}\right)$ | $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{C U M}$ | $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{C U M}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| R | 5.79 | 13.42 | 5.79 | 13.42 | 4.58 | 10.61 |
| 3 | 41.96 | 97.1 | 41.96 | 97.10 | 33.18 | 76.78 |
| 2 | 41.96 | 97.1 | 41.96 | 97.10 | 33.18 | 76.78 |
| 1 | 41.96 | 97.1 | 41.96 | 97.10 | 33.18 | 76.78 |
| G | 41.96 | 97.1 | 41.96 | 97.10 | 33.18 | 76.78 |

Table 4: Cumulative Wind loading on each floor

| Vertical distribution of forces | Force (kN) | Cumulative Force (kN) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 42.43 | 407.47 |
| 2 | 82.06 | 365.04 |
| 3 | 121.68 | 282.98 |
| R | 161.30 | 161.30 |

Table 5: Cumulative Earthquake loading on each floor
From comparing the tables above it is clear that the earthquake loading is the critical condition in this case.

The critical shear and moment acting on a single wall was then found to be acting on the staircase and lift shaft walls but was taken to be the highest action the modules will be designed to, nevertheless.
$\mathrm{V}^{*}=88.84 \mathrm{kN}$
$\mathrm{M}^{*}=898.98 \mathrm{kNm}$

## Shear Wall Bending and Shear Capacity

The bending and shear capacity must be checked for the modular walls and shall satisfy $\phi \mathrm{M}>\mathrm{M}^{*}$ and $\varphi f \mathrm{f}>\mathrm{t}_{0,90}$ and $\varphi \mathrm{fs}>\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{TD}}$.
$\phi \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{KNm})=2063.46$
$\mathrm{M}^{*}(\mathrm{kNm})=898.98$
$\varphi \mathrm{M}>\mathrm{M}^{*}$. CL3/125 satisfies the Bending Strength criteria.
The shear capacity along joints from the glue lamination $\varphi \mathrm{fs}(\mathrm{MPa})=0.96$
The applied shear force creates shearing off failure stress $\mathrm{t}_{0,90}$ along the CLT Joints.
$\tau_{0,90}(\mathrm{MPa})=0.000189$
$\varphi f s>\mathrm{t}_{0,90}$ : CL3/125 satisfies the Shear Stress along joints
The applied moment creates internal torsional stress along the CLT Joints.
$\tau_{\text {тD }}(\mathrm{MPa})=0.67$
$\varphi \mathrm{fS}>_{\mathrm{TD}}: \mathrm{CL} 3 / 125$ satisfies the Torsional Shear Capacity

## Connections

Screw Design - Withdrawal
Following (Spax EC5 V09.2015) design guide Withdrawal resistance is determined by:

1. Withdrawal failure of thread in wall member
2. Head pull-through failure in floor member
3. Tensile failure of steel

Use Spax Fastener nom diameter 8 mm Countersunk head with washer with a penetration depth of 200 mm .


| Withdrawal Failure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| neff | fax,k (N/mm2) | d1 (mm) |  | leff $(\mathrm{mm})$ | $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m} 3)$ | $\phi$ |  |  |
| fax,rk (KN) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 12 |  | 8 | 200 | 465 | 0 |  |  |

Table 6: Capacity of single fastener withdrawal Failure

| Head Pull Through Failure |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | :---: |
| neff | $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m} 3)$ | $\mathrm{dh}(\mathrm{mm})$ | fhead,k (N/mm2) | fax,rk (KN) |  |
| 1 | 465 | 20 |  | 14 |  |
| 7.03 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 7: Capacity of single fastener head pull through Failure

## Screw Design - Shear Failure

The characteristic value of shear resistance to Eurocode 5 of a connection with SPAX fasteners is determined by comparison of 6 failure modes:

| Failure mode (KN) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| a) Fv,Rk,a (KN) | b) Fv,Rk,b(KN) | c) Fv,Rk,c (KN) | d) Fv,Rk,d (KN) | e) Fv,Rk,e (KN) | f) Fv,Rk,f(KN) | Critical Shear <br> Failure (KN) |
| 36.78 | 11.31 | 16.16 | 13.26 | 3.01 | 3.87 | 3.01 |

Table 8: Results from the six shear failure modes
Design capacity in accordance with AS1720.1
$\operatorname{Rdj}=\varphi^{*} \mathrm{k}^{*}{ }^{*} \mathrm{k} 13^{*} \mathrm{k} 14^{*} \mathrm{k} 17{ }^{*} \mathrm{n}^{*} \mathrm{Qk}$

| $\operatorname{Rdj}(K N)$ | $V^{*}(K N)$ | Wall Length | $V^{*} / m$ | Minimum <br> Spacing (m) | Adopted <br> Spacing (mm) |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 4.58337 | 88.84 | 10.4 | 9.00 | 1.16 | 500 |

Table 9: Fastener Shear Capacity and minimum spacing in accordance with AS1720.1

Bracket Design - Shear Failure
$\varphi N \mathrm{dj}=\varphi^{*} \mathrm{k} 1{ }^{*} \mathrm{k} 13^{*} \mathrm{k} 14 *{ }^{*}{ }^{*}$ Qk
$\varphi=0.8$
$\mathrm{k} 1=1.14$
$\mathrm{k} 13=1$
$\mathrm{k} 14=1$
Qk $=70 \mathrm{KN}-$ From connection manufacturer
(Rotho Titan TTF 200 selected)
Table : Fastener Shear Capacity and number of brackets.


2 Connections @ 5.2 m minimum spacing required.

Bracket Design - Tension Failure
$\varphi N d j=\varphi^{*}$ Qk
$\varphi=0.8$
Qk $=31.4 \mathrm{KN}$ - From connection manufacturer
(Rotho Titan WHT 340 selected)
$\varphi N d j=25.12 \mathrm{KN} /$ connection
$\mathrm{V}^{*}=88.84 \mathrm{KN}$
Therefore 4 Connections @ 2.6 m minimum spacing required.


## MATERIAL RESEARCH

+ Structural Wall System (XLAM CLT Panels)
+ Material Information

Company/Manufacturer: XLAM
CLT provides a healthy and comfortable indoor climate and is a sustainable choice for buliaing materials with a low carbon footprint and durability. Some of the many advantages of CLT as a natural material is that it works as a stabilizer of humidity acoustics and temperature, which creates indoor conditions that feel friendly and comfortable to live in.

Throughoff-site prefabricationmethods, CLT can be manufactured to a higher level of accuracy which can ensure minimal defeo in the finalised product. Procurement, construction assembly and delivery timescales can also be improved because of this which results in an overall cost decrease. The inherent structural qualities and the materials ability to allows for airtightness make it a viable choice for multi-residential construction. The most major sustainable benefits from this building material is its carbon sequestration and minimal energy intensive production process allowing it to both store greater amount of CO2 compared to standard concrete construction

https/mwwxamcoonz/solutionshtmml|txam-cht

## MATERIAL RESEARCH

+ Wall Panel System (Digital Wall Cassettes)
+ Material Information
his Architectural Practice in New Zealand designed a unique solution to external cladding systems that work in conjunction with the build nature of CLT construction. Utilizes CNC machinery the cassette system is formulated by parametric calculations that allow the panels to be adapt in shape to allow for the location of services and window/door openings. The cassette panels can be easily demounted from the CLT wall allowing ease of access o attend to the necessary services. This system opens up an array of cladding possibilities, allowing each module to have its own sense of personality through external finishes. The simplicity n the cassette fabrication process allows for easier assembly which reduces the cost for skilled labour, and could potentially allow for clients to become more actively involved in the design and construction process

Once assembly is complete, each individual cassette is a placed into a set of corresponding routed notches within the CLT wall panels. Through screw fixing methods and frictional jointing or the assembly of the cassettes, it allows for a flexible design system that can be easily unclipped disassembled, altered moved, reconstructed and even recycled

The adaptable nature of the system supports flexible design options and sustainable practices through

CNC fabrication techniques which enforces a low-waste system due to optomising material calculations and outputs. The ability to recycle the timber used for the cassettes. Cost-effective customization which is more precise than traditional timber construction methods.

Internal Flooring (Option)

- Soundproofed Screed Rubber Flooring
+ Material Information

Company/Manufacturer: ABS West
Regupol@ utilizes recycled rubber it in the manufacturing process of rubber flooring and acoustic underlays. The Regupo@ Impact Sound Acoustic Underlays has been certified by Good Environmental Choice Australia (GECA) as a suitable product that contributes to the production of environmental aware building design
https://www.abswest.com.au/index.php/products/flooring-and-underlay/acoustic-underlay/


## MATERIAL RESEARCH

+ CORIUM* Mechanical Brick 'Clip \& Rail’ System


## + Material Information

Company/Manufacturer: PGH Bricks \& Pavers
The CORIUM system can allow for flexibility in external cladding options with a range of differing profiles, textures and sizes. The system ensure for an improved design flow with seamless transitions around corners, angled surafcae and curvatures that a standard full brick is unable to achieve

The system is designed so that each brick acts as a tile that can mechanically clip into position. The speed of the installation process for the system is mainly due to less reliance on structural steel elements, providing econmoic value to the poriect. This system allows for electrical and water services to run more easily behind the brickwork

Strong and durable

- Anticipated design life of 60 years in most applications Uses HPS200 galvanised steel, or Grade 304 stainless steel backing section (stainless steel below the DPC and in exposure areas)
Considerably faster than traditional brickwork to insta Speeds up the construction process
Reduced construction costs
Cost-effective and certified
Suitable for use with a wide range of substructures, including concrete, timber-frame, structural steel, lightweight steel frames, masonry and structurally insulated panels
Lightweight - buildings may benefit from simpler, lower cost Supply and fix solution through PGH Bricks \& Pavers' network of recognised installers


Life-Cycle Costing

Draft Example: Apartment Modules + Stair Core CLT Superstructure (Floors and Walls)

CLT Wall Panel CL3 125mm, Fire Resistant Lining External \& Internal + Waterproofing

The CL3 125mm CLT Wall Panel with fire resistant lining external + internal waterproofing has an average cost per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ of $\$ 226.80$ and has an expected lifespan of 60 years, though this is dependat on varying factors susch as use, maintencance, overall thickness and quality of waterproofing. The total design costs for the superstructure CLT externa wall panels of the combined 12 modules is $\$ 363,347.21$ which means that across the materials expected lifespan, it would cost $\$ 6,055.78$ per year.

CLT Floor Panel CL5 225mm

The CL5 225mm CLT Ceiling Panel has an average cost per m² of $\$ 296.10$ and has an expected lifespan of 60 years, though this is dependat on varying factors susch as use, maintencance, overall thickness and quality of waterproofing. The total design costs for the superstructure CLT internal wall panels of the combined 12 modules is $\$ 488,505.78$ which means that across the materials expected lifespan, it would cost \$8,141.76 per year.

## CLT Interior Lift and Stair Shaft CL3 105 mm

The CL5 225 mm CLT Ceiling Panel has an average cost per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ of $\$ 216.30$ and has an expected lifespan of 60 years, though this is dependat on varying factors such as use maintencance, overall thickness and quality of waterproofing. The total design costs for the superstructure CLT interior lift shaft wall panels of the combined 2 stair cores of apartment Block A \& Block B, is \$48,494.46 which means that across the materials expected lifespan, it would cost $\$ 808.24$ per year.

Though the conclusive figures show that the yearly pay back of the design CLT superstructure lifespan is relatively costly, there are a number of benefits making it a positive and viable construction option These benefits include

- Design Flexibility - allowing for variances in thickness, span and sheet sizes
- Low U-Values emedded in the material make it a thermal performer and more energy efficent thus reducing energy costs for occupants.
- Construction/assembly process is $15 \%$ faster than that of concrete or steel construction particularly with mid-rise residential buildings.
Minimised job-site wastage through controlled off-site manufacturing
Total Payback per year across
- Seismic Performance - strong lateral load resisitance
- adequate noise control for both airborne and impact sound transmission



## 3x3haus

## Design Calculations

## Preliminary Design

Preliminary sizing of floor cassettes can be obtained from

- Approximate span to depth ratio estimates for a 5layer panel: span: depth = 25-27, therefore 5,620/25
$=225 \mathrm{~mm}$


Wall Panel Selection: The walls running in the North/South direction will be taking the gravity loads of the entire building and will be exposed to lateral loads. The walls spanning in the East/West direction will primarily be taking lateral loads only. A preliminary wall size was chosen with consideration of the
xlam design guide figure shown below. It was observed that for a height of 3.5 m the deign capacity supports $272 \mathrm{KN} / \mathrm{m}$ however this estimate is not subjected to the high level of wind loads that a 4-story building is anticipated to be subject to. An estimated reduction of its capacity by $20 \%$ still yielded enough strength to support the estimated loading of 200KN/m.

| Panel Designation | Wall Height |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 Layer Panels | 2.8 m |  |  |  |  | 3.0 m | 3.5 m |  | 4.0 m |
| CL3/85 | 129 | 115 | 85 | 71 |  |  |  |  |  |
| CL3/105 | 250 | 226 | 171 | 144 |  |  |  |  |  |
| CL3/115 | 302 | 274 | 209 | 178 |  |  |  |  |  |
| CL3/125 | 386 | 352 | 272 | 231 |  |  |  |  |  |
| CL3/135 | 446 | 412 | 321 | 275 |  |  |  |  |  |

The combined loading check allows for moment induced by eccentricity in addition simultaneous wind load of 0.5 kpa .

Figure 2: Xlam Axial wall capacity in KN/m.

## Vertical Loading

The vertical design actions were determined in compliance with AS 1170.0,1 and following the manufactures specifications.

Permanent Loading (G):
G - Walls Loads
CLT self-weight $\left(500 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)=2.08 \mathrm{KN} / \mathrm{m}$
$\mathrm{SDL}(1 \mathrm{kpa})=0.12 \mathrm{KN} / \mathrm{m}$
Total Wall Loads $=2.2 \mathrm{KN} / \mathrm{m}$
G - Floor Loads
CL5/225 $=1.3 \mathrm{kpa}-\mathrm{Xlam}$
SDL = 1kpa
Total Floor Loads $=2.3 \mathrm{kpa}$
Q - Imposed Load
$\mathrm{Q}=1.5 \mathrm{kpa}$ from AS/NZS 1170.1 Table 3.1, A

Structural Actions
4 Story Building Max Permanent Loads on Walls

|  | Loads | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wall } \\ & 1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wall } \\ & 2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wall } \\ & 3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level 3 | Max UDL (KN/m) | 11.63 | 11.63 | 2.20 |
|  | Max P (KN) | 24.00 | 24.00 | 0.00 |
| Level 2 | Max UDL (KN/m) | 25.15 | 32.46 | 14.96 |
|  | Max P (KN) | 24.00 | 47.15 | 26.20 |
| Level 1 | Max UDL (KN/m) | 38.67 | 59.50 | 28.14 |
|  | Max P (KN) | 24.00 | 73.45 | 26.20 |
| Ground | Max UDL (KN/m) | 52.10 | 86.54 | 41.66 |
|  | Max P (KN) | 50.40 | 99.70 | 28.14 |
| SteelWork | Max UDL (KN/m) | 58.30 | 99.70 | 48.60 |
|  | Max P (KN) | 50.40 | 99.70 | 40.29 |

Table 1: Permanent Wall Loading at each Floor of 4 Story Building

| 4 Story Building Max Imposed Loads on Walls |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Loads | Wall $1$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wall } \\ & \end{aligned}$ | Wall $3$ |
| Level 3 | Max UDL (KN/m) | 0.68 | 0.68 | 0.00 |
|  | Max P (KN) | 1.49 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Level 2 | Max UDL (KN/m) | 6.10 | 12.83 | 1.35 |
|  | Max P (KN) | 1.50 | 14.47 | 1.49 |
| Level 1 | $\operatorname{Max~UDL~(KN/m)~}$ | 11.50 | 23.63 | 6.75 |
|  | Max P (KN) | 1.50 | 25.76 | 1.49 |
| Ground | Max UDL (KN/m) | 16.90 | 34.43 | 12.15 |
|  | Max P (KN) | 21.87 | 37.10 | 1.49 |
| SteelWork | Max UDL (KN/m) | 22.30 | 45.23 | 17.55 |
|  | Max P (KN) | 21.87 | 37.10 | 1.49 |

Table 2: Imposed Wall Loading at each Floor of 4 Story Building

## Load Combinations

| STRENGTH |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| LC1 | 1.35 G |
| LC2 | $1.2 \mathrm{G}+1.5 \mathrm{Q}$ |
| LC3 | $1.2 \mathrm{G}+1.5 \psi \mathrm{IQ}$ |
| LC4 | $1.2 \mathrm{G}+\mathrm{Wu}+\Psi \mathrm{CQ}$ |

Table 3: Strength Limit State Load cases

| SERVICEABILITY |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| LC1 | G |
| LC2 | UsQ |
| LC3 | IQ |
| LC4 | Ws (uplift) |

Table 4: Serviceability Limit State Load cases

## Floor Design

## Deflection Performance

The Gamma Method is used to calculate the stiffness and hence deflection of the floor panel. The panel subject to the highest loading is the ceiling panels of the modules as it supports the floor panel of the above adjoining module.

## Geometry and Loading

The floor assembly is made of multiple CLT panels placed next to each other in the same direction, thus acting as single directional slabs (one-way). The largest panels will be 5.4 m long and 2 m wide creating a span ratio of 2.7 and therefore is a one-way floor system.

w


CL5/225 CLT Panel
$\mathrm{L}=5400 \mathrm{~mm}$
$B_{\text {eff }}=2000 \mathrm{~mm}$
$\mathrm{G}_{\text {ceiling }}+\mathrm{G}_{\text {floor }}=4.6 \mathrm{kPa}$
$Q_{\text {floor }}=1.5 \mathrm{kPa}-\mathrm{AS1170.1}$ Table 3.1, A
Serviceability Limit State: $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{s}}=\mathrm{G}=\underline{4.6 \mathrm{kPa}}$

Ultimate Limit State: $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{u}}=1.2 \mathrm{G}+1.5 \mathrm{Q}=\underline{7.77 \mathrm{kPa}}$

| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{ti} \\ & (\mathrm{~mm}) \end{aligned}$ | h (a) mm | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Lref }^{2} \\ & (\mathrm{~mm} 2) \end{aligned}$ | b/d | (MPa) | Gr (MPa) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ai } \\ & (\mathrm{mm} 2) \end{aligned}$ | vi | li (mm4) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { A*h2 } \\ & \text { (mm4) } \end{aligned}$ | Eili eff (Nmm2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 45 | 90 | $2.92 \mathrm{E}+07$ | 44.44 | 8000 | 40 | 90000 | 0.88 | $1.52 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $7.29 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 5.25E+12 |
| 45 | 45 | 0.00E+00 | 0 | 200 | - | 0 |  |  |  | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 45 | 0 | $2.92 \mathrm{E}+07$ | 44.44 | 6000 | 40 | 90000 | 0.91 | $1.52 \mathrm{E}+07$ | 0.00E+00 | $9.11 \mathrm{E}+10$ |
| 45 | 45 | 0.00E+00 | 0 | 200 | - | 0 |  |  |  | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 45 | 90 | $2.92 \mathrm{E}+07$ | 44.44 | 8000 | 40 | 90000 | 0.88 | $1.52 \mathrm{E}+07$ | 7.29E+08 | $5.25 \mathrm{E}+12$ |

Table 5: Finding the Effective Stiffness CL5/225
$\gamma=\left[1+\frac{\pi^{2} E_{i} A_{i}}{G_{R} \frac{b}{d} l_{r e f}^{2}}\right]^{-1}$
$E I_{e f f}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(E_{i} I_{i}+\gamma_{i} E_{i} A_{i} a_{i}^{2}\right)$
$\mathrm{El}_{\text {eff }}(\mathrm{Nmm} 2)=1.06 \mathrm{E}+13$
Maximum deflection
$\Delta \max (\mathrm{mm})=9.62 \mathrm{~mm}$
Check L/ $\Delta=561>300$ therefore Ok
CL5/225 Panel satisfies the deflection performance criteria.

## Dynamic Performance

The dynamic performance of a CLT floor is governed by stiffness, mass and damping (additional layers of furniture etc). The stiffer, heavier and more layers a floor has the higher the performance.

This section follows wood solutions Design Guide 50 section 3.6.5.2 to design for vibration.
1.Check the deflection of the floor under a 1 KN point load:
$\Delta 1 K N=\frac{P L^{\wedge} 2}{48 \text { EIeff }}$
$\Delta_{1 \mathrm{KN}}=0.31 \mathrm{~mm}<1.5 \mathrm{~mm} \approx \mathrm{ok}$
2.Check dynamic frequency:
$F 1=\frac{\pi}{2 l^{2}}\left(\frac{\text { EIeff }}{m}\right)^{1 / 2}$
$\mathrm{L}=5400 \mathrm{~mm}$
$\mathrm{M}=225 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m} 2$
$\mathrm{F} 1=16 \mathrm{~Hz}>8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ :ok
3.Check FPI acceptance criteria:
$\frac{F 1}{\Delta 1 \mathrm{KN}^{0.7}}>13$
$37>13$ :ok
CL5/225 Panel satisfies the dynamic performance criteria.
Strength Performance - Bending Capacity
The Section Bending Capacity for strength limit state must satisfy $\phi M>M^{*}$
Where:
$M^{*}$ is the design action effect in bending
$\phi \mathrm{M}=\phi \mathrm{b}^{* k 1 * k 4 * k 6 * k 9 * k 12 * f ' b * Z e f f ~}$

|  | STRENGTH |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| LC1 | 1.35 G | 6.21 |
| LC2 | $1.2 \mathrm{G}+1.5 \mathrm{Q}$ | 7.77 |
| LC3 | $1.2 \mathrm{G}+1.5 \psi \mathrm{IQ}$ | 6.42 |
| LC4 | $1.2 \mathrm{G}+\mathrm{Wu}+\psi \mathrm{CQ}$ | 6.78 |

Table 6: W* for load combinations

| Moment Capacity |  | Notes/Comments |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| $\phi b$ | 0.85 | Reduction Factor |
| k4 | 1 | Seasoned Timber |
| k6 | 1 | Temperature Factor |
| K9 | 1 | Strength Sharing Factor |
| k12 | 1 | Stability Factor |
| fb $(\mathrm{MPa})$ | 14 | Bending Strength - Xlam |
| Eieff $\left(\mathrm{Nmm}^{2}\right)$ | $1.06 \mathrm{E}+13$ | Effective Stiffness |
| E1 $(\mathrm{Mpa})$ | 8000 | Outer CLT Layers |
| Zeff $\left(\mathrm{mm}^{3}\right)$ | $1.18 \mathrm{E}+07$ | Section Modulus |

Table 7: Factors for Moment Capacity

|  | LC1 | LC2 | LC3 | LC4 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| k 1 (Load Duration Factor) | 0.57 | 0.8 | 0.57 | 1 |
| $\phi \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{KNm})$ | 79.84 | 112.05 | 79.84 | 140.07 |
| $\mathrm{M}^{*}(\mathrm{KNm})$ | 22.64 | 28.32 | 23.40 | 24.71 |
| $\mathrm{CL5} / 225$ Safe | $28.35 \%$ | $25.28 \%$ | $29.31 \%$ | $17.64 \%$ |

Table 8: Analysis of Moment Capacity per Load Combination
$\phi M>M^{*}$ : CL5/225 satisfies the Bending Strength criteria.
Strength Performance - Shear Capacity
The Section Shear Capacity for strength limit state must satisfy $\phi \mathrm{V}>\mathrm{V}^{*}$

$$
\therefore \varphi V=\frac{\varphi_{s} k_{1} k_{4} k_{6} t_{v} E I_{e f f} b_{e f f}}{(E Q)}
$$

$(E Q)_{L}=E_{1} t_{1} b_{e f f} a_{1}+E_{2} t_{2} b_{\text {eff }} a_{2}+E_{3} \frac{t_{3}}{2} b_{e f f} a_{3}$
$(E Q)_{R}=E_{1} t_{1} b_{\text {eff }}\left(a_{1}-\frac{t_{3}}{2}\right)+E_{2} t_{2} b_{e f f}\left(a_{2}-\frac{t_{3}}{2}\right)$

| Shear Capacity |  | Notes/Comments |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| ¢s | 0.85 | Reduction Factor |
| k4 | 1 | Seasoned Timber |
| k6 | 1 | Temperature Factor |
| tv | 3.8 |  |
| Eleff Nmm2 | $1.06 \mathrm{E}+13$ | Effective Stiffness |
| beff (m) | 2 | CLT panel width |
| E1 (MPa) | 8000 | Outer Longitudinal |
| E2 (MPa) | 200 | Transverse layers |
| E3 (MPa) | 6000 | Inner Longitudinal |
| EQ L Nmm | $6.78 \mathrm{E}+10$ | statical moment of area |
| EQ R Nmm | $4.90 \mathrm{E}+10$ | statical moment of area |
| f'b mpa | 14 | Bending Stress - Xlam |

Table 9: Factors for Shear Capacity

| k1 (Load Duration Factor) | 0.57 | 0.8 | 0.57 | 1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\phi V L(K N)$ | 574.99 | 807.01 | 574.99 | 1008.76 |
| $\phi V R(K N)$ | 795.97 | 1117.15 | 795.97 | 1396.44 |
| $\mathrm{~V}^{*}(\mathrm{KN})$ | 16.77 | 20.98 | 17.33 | 18.31 |
|  | $2.92 \%$ | $2.60 \%$ | $3.01 \%$ | $1.81 \%$ |
|  | $2.11 \%$ | $1.88 \%$ | $2.18 \%$ | $1.31 \%$ |

Table 10: Analysis of Shear Capacity per Load Combination
$\phi V>N^{*} . \quad C L 5 / 225$ satisfies the Shear Strength criteria.

## Wall Design

## Geometry and Loading

From Preliminary selection a CL3/125 CLT panel will be analysed in conjunction with the applied design actions.

CLT panel: CL3/125
$\mathrm{tp}=125 \mathrm{~mm}$
$\mathrm{ti}=45 \mathrm{~mm}$
$\mathrm{L}=3400 \mathrm{~mm}$
Beff $=1000 \mathrm{~mm}$
$\mathrm{Et}=\mathrm{tp} / 15=8.33 \mathrm{~mm}-$ Location of eccentrically placed load.
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{G}}=52.10 \mathrm{KN} / \mathrm{m}$ and 50.40 KN from table 1.
$P_{Q}=16.90 \mathrm{KN} / \mathrm{m}$ and 21.87 KN from table 2.
Wall 1 is critical as Wall 2 has twice the stiffness (Two module walls connected)


## Effective Stiffness for Panel

The El effective, with gamma value of 1 can be used for strength checks. Therefore gamma is not calculated for this wall design.

| ti <br> $(\mathbf{m m})$ | h (a) <br> $\mathbf{m m}$ | Ei <br> $\mathbf{( M P a )}$ | Ai <br> $(\mathbf{m m 2})$ | li (mm4) | A*h2 <br> $(\mathbf{m m 4})$ | Eili eff <br> $(\mathbf{N m m 2})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 45 | 45 | 8000 | 90000 | $7.20 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $5.89 \mathrm{E}+11$ |
| 35 | 0 | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 45 | 45 | 6000 | 90000 | $7.20 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $5.89 \mathrm{E}+11$ |

Table 11: Finding the Effective Stiffness CL3/125
$E I_{e f f}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(E_{i} I_{i}+\gamma_{i} E_{i} A_{i} a_{i}^{2}\right)$
Strength Performance - Axial Compressive Capacity
The Axial Capacity for Compressive design loads must satisfy $\varphi \mathrm{Nc}>\mathrm{N}^{*}$.
$\varphi \mathrm{Nc}=\varphi \mathrm{c}^{*} \mathrm{k} 1^{*} \mathrm{k} 4^{*} \mathrm{k} 6^{*} \mathrm{k} 12^{*} \mathrm{f}^{\prime} \mathrm{c}^{*} \mathrm{Ac}$

| Axial Capacity |  | Notes/Comments |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| $\varphi c$ | 0.85 | Reduction Factor |
| k4 | 1 | Seasoned Timber |
| k6 | 1 | Temperature Factor |
| k12 | 0.31 | Stability Factor |
| f'c (MPa) | 18 | Compressive Strength - Xlam |
| Ac (mm2) | 90000 | Effective area |
| $r$ | 0.25 |  |
| g13 | 1 | Effective length Factor |
| g28 | 1 | Effective length Factor |
| S | 24.25 | Slenderness Coefficient |
| pc | 1.05 | Material Constant |
| pc.S | 25.44 |  |

Table 12: Factors for Axial Compressive Strength
$k_{12}=\frac{200}{\left(\rho_{c}-S\right)^{2}}$
$\rho_{c}=11.39\left(\frac{E}{f_{c}^{\prime}}\right)^{-0.408} r^{-0.074}$
$S_{s}=0.3 g_{13} g_{28} L\left(\frac{E A_{e f f}}{E I_{e f f}}\right)^{0.5}$

| Axial Capacity | LC1 | LC2 | LC3 | LC4 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| k1 (Load Duration Factor) | 0.57 | 0.8 | 0.57 | 1 |
| $\varphi N c$ Capacity (KN/m) | 242.53 | 340.40 | 242.53 | 425.50 |
| $\mathrm{~N}^{*}(\mathrm{KN} / \mathrm{m})$ | 70.34 | 87.87 | 72.66 | 70.252 |
|  | $29.00 \%$ | $25.81 \%$ | $29.96 \%$ | $16.51 \%$ |

Table 13: Analysis of Axial Compressive Capacity per Load Combination
$\varphi \mathrm{Nc}_{\mathrm{c}}>\mathrm{N}^{*}$. ok

## Strength Performance - Axial Bending Capacity due to Eccentricity

Calculate the panels Bending Capacity for potential eccentric loading conditions. The Bending Capacity for eccentric design loads must satisfy $\varphi \mathrm{M}>\mathrm{M}^{*}$.
$\varphi \mathrm{M}=\varphi \mathrm{b}^{*} \mathrm{k} 1^{*} \mathrm{k} 4 * \mathrm{k} 6^{*} \mathrm{k} 9 * \mathrm{k} 12 * \mathrm{f}^{\prime} \mathrm{b} * \mathrm{Zeff}$

| Bending Capacity (Eccentricity) |  | Notes/Comments |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| $\varphi$ b | 0.85 | Reduction Factor |
| k4 | 1 | Seasoned Timber |
| k6 | 1 | Temperature Factor |
| k9 | 1 | Strength Sharing Factor |
| k12 | 1 | Stability Factor |
| f'b (MPa) | 14 | Bending Strength - Xlam |
| Zeff (mm3) | 2.55E +06 | Section Modulus |

Table 14: Factors for Axial Bending Strength
$Z e f f=\frac{E I e f f}{E 1} x \frac{2}{t p}$
K12 $=1.0$ - slenderness coefficient for floor, assumed no torsion
$M^{*}=N$ xet

| Bending Capacity (Eccentricity) | LC1 | LC2 | LC3 | LC4 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| k1 (Load Duration Factor) | 0.57 | 0.8 | 0.57 | 1 |
| $\varphi$ Mb Capacity (KNm) | 17.28 | 24.25 | 17.28 | 30.31 |
| $\mathrm{M}^{*}$ (KNm) | 0.59 | 0.73 | 0.61 | 0.59 |
|  | $3.39 \%$ | $3.02 \%$ | $3.50 \%$ | $1.93 \%$ |

Table 15: Analysis of Axial Bending Capacity per Load Combination
$\varphi \mathrm{Mb}>\mathrm{M}^{*}$. ok

## Strength Performance - Combined Actions Check

Combined bending and compression members shall be proportioned to satisfy the follow equations.

Check $1=\left(\frac{M *}{\phi \mathrm{Mb}}\right)^{2}+\frac{N *}{\phi N c} \leq 1$
and
Check $2=\left(\frac{M *}{\phi \mathrm{Mb}}\right)+\frac{N *}{\phi N c} \leq 1$

| Combined Actions |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | LC1 | LC2 | LC3 | LC4 |
| $\mathrm{M}^{*}$ | 0.59 | 0.73 | 0.61 | 0.59 |
| $\varphi \mathrm{Mb}$ | 17.28 | 24.25 | 17.28 | 30.31 |
| $\mathrm{~N}^{*}$ | 70.34 | 87.87 | 72.66 | 70.25 |
| $\varphi \mathrm{Nc}$ | 242.53 | 340.40 | 242.53 | 425.50 |
| Check 1 <1 | $29.12 \%$ | $25.91 \%$ | $30.08 \%$ | $16.55 \%$ |
| Check 2 <1 | $32.39 \%$ | $28.83 \%$ | $33.46 \%$ | $18.44 \%$ |

Table 16: Analysis of Combined Actions per Load Combination

## Strength Performance - Bearing Check

Calculate loaded cross-sectional area of elements, perpendicular to grain in story. Perpendicular to grain crushing is a strength test, but as the wood crushes, it still transmits loads of bearings and seldom causes any collapse. As the crushing continues, the structure deforms, so bearing perpendicular to grain is pragmatically a matter of serviceability rather than a matter of energy. This must also be measured as a strength test to ensure conformity with AS 1720.1.
$\varphi \mathrm{Nb}=\varphi \mathrm{b}^{*} \mathrm{k} 1^{*} \mathrm{k} 4^{*} \mathrm{k} 6^{*} \mathrm{k} 7^{*} \mathrm{f}^{\prime} \mathrm{p}^{*} \mathrm{Api}$

| Bearing Capacity |  | Notes/Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Geometry |  |  |
| tp Wall (mm) | 125 | Wall thickness |
| tp Floor (mm) | 225 | Floor thickness |
| k7 | 1 | length of bearing Factor |
| beff (mm) | 1000 | Wall effective width |
| Api (mm2) | $2.38 \mathrm{E}+05$ | Loaded cross-sectional area of elements perpendicular to grain in storey |
| Bearing Capacity |  |  |
| $\varphi$ | 0.8 | Reduction Factor |
| k4 | 1 | Seasoned Timber |
| k6 | 1 | Temperature Factor |
| f'c90 (MPa) | 8.9 | Compression Strength (Perp to grain) |

Table 17: Factors for Bearing Strength
$A_{p i}=\operatorname{Max}\left(b_{4}+\frac{d_{3}}{4}, k_{7} b_{4}\right) x b_{3}$

| Bearing Capacity | LC1 | LC2 | LC3 | LC4 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| k 1 | 0.57 | 0.8 | 0.57 | 1 |
| $\varphi \mathrm{Nb}(\mathrm{KN})$ | 963.87 | 1352.80 | 963.87 | 1691.00 |
| $\mathrm{~N}^{*}(\mathrm{KN})$ | 68.85 | 94.2 | 74.4 | 72.55 |
|  | $7.14 \%$ | $6.96 \%$ | $7.72 \%$ | $4.29 \%$ |

Table 18: Analysis of Combined Actions per Load Combination
$\varphi \mathrm{Nb}>\mathrm{N}^{*}$. ok

## Vertical Wall Settlements

Axial shortening of a building is a long-term problem. Therefore, all loads considered in the estimation of shortening are long-term gravity loads. It is a serviceability issue and uses a load combination $G+\psi I Q$.
$\delta \mathrm{t}=\delta_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{l}}+\delta_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{p}}+\delta_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{l}}+\delta_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{p}}+\delta_{\mathrm{j}}$
$\delta_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{l}}=\mathrm{up}^{*} \Delta \mathrm{mc}^{*} \mathrm{~L}$
$\delta_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{p}}=\mathrm{up}^{*} \Delta \mathrm{mc}^{*} \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{p}}$
$\delta \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{l}=\sum_{\text {floors }} \frac{j_{2} N_{c, i} L_{i}}{E_{i} A_{p, i}}$
$\delta \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{p}=\sum_{\text {floors }} \frac{j_{2} N_{c, i} d_{2, i}}{E_{i} A_{p, i}}$
$\delta_{\mathrm{j}}=\mathrm{n}_{\text {joints }} * \delta_{\text {gaps }}$

|  | Differential Settlement |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Wall 1 סtotal (mm) | Wall 2 万total (mm) | Wall 3 万total (mm) | $\Delta \delta$ Max |  |
| Third Level | 5.64 | 4.99 | 5.01 | 0.65 |  |
| Second Level | 8.33 | 9.00 | 7.36 | 1.63 |  |
| First Level | 9.61 | 11.53 | 8.61 | 2.92 |  |
| Ground Level | 10.88 | 14.07 | 9.89 | 4.18 |  |

Table 19: Total Settlement of each wall and highest differential settlement

| Shortening Wall 1 | Ground Level | First Level | Second Level | Third Level | Notes/Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shrinkage |  |  |  |  |  |
| Parallel to grain |  |  |  |  |  |
| $u$ | $2.70 \mathrm{E}-03$ |  |  |  |  |
| up (mm/mm/\%change in MC) | 0.0000675 |  |  |  | Tangential Movement |
| $\Delta \mathrm{mc}$ \% | 3.00 |  |  |  | Change in moisture content |
| $\delta(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{l})(\mathrm{mm})$ | 0.689 | 0.69 | 0.69 | 0.69 | Total shrinkage parallel to grain |
| Perpendicular to grain |  |  |  |  |  |
| up | 0.0027 |  |  |  |  |
| up (mm/mm/\%change in MC) | 0.0027 |  |  |  | Tangential Movement |
| $\Delta \mathrm{mc}$ \% | 4.00 |  |  |  | Change in moisture content |
| $\delta(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{p})(\mathrm{mm})$ | 4.86 | 4.86 | 4.86 | 3.78 | Total shrinkage perpendicular to grain |
| Deformation and Creep |  |  |  |  |  |
| Parallel to grain |  |  |  |  |  |
| j2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| N* (KN) | 52.10 | 38.67 | 25.15 | 11.63 | Applied Long term action |
| L (mm) | 3400 |  |  |  | Length of wall |
| Ei (MPa) | 8000 |  |  |  | Modulus of elasticity |
| $\mathrm{Ap}(\mathrm{mm2})$ | 9.00E+04 |  |  |  | Loaded cross sectional area of perpendicular elements |
| (c, l ) (mm) | 1.97 | 1.46 | 0.95 | 0.44 | Total compression parallel to grain |
| Perpendicular to grain |  |  |  |  |  |
| j2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}^{*}$ (KN) | 52.10 | 38.67 | 25.15 | 11.63 | Applied Long term action |
| dp (Floor) | 270 |  |  |  |  |
| Ei (MPa) Floor | 266.67 |  |  |  | Modulus of elasticity perpendicula to grain |
| dp (Wall) | 90 |  |  |  | Effective thickness |
| Ei (MPa) Wall | 200 |  |  |  | Modulus of elasticity perpendicula to grain |
| Ap (mm2) | $2.38 \mathrm{E}+05$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\delta(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{p})(\mathrm{mm})$ | 2.96 | 2.20 | 1.43 | 0.33 | Total compression perpendicular to grain |
| Settlement of joints |  |  |  |  |  |
| n(joints) | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| ठgap (mm) | 0.2 |  |  |  | Prefabricated in factory |
| ¢j (mm) | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | Total closure of joints |
| Stotal (mm) | 10.88 | 9.61 | 8.33 | 5.64 | Total estimated shortening of the building |

Table 20: Example of Settlement Calculation (Wall 1)

## Beam Design

Glulam 18 130x330 beam is selected and will be checked for shear and bending capacity.
$\phi \mathrm{M}=\phi \mathrm{b}^{*} \mathrm{k} 1^{*} k 4 * k 6 * k 9 * k 12 * \mathrm{f}^{\prime} \mathrm{b}^{*}$ Zeff

| фb | k1 | k4 | k6 | S1 | pb | k9 | k12 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0.85 | 0.8 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

Table 21: Factors for Bending Strength

| f'b mpa | leff <br> $(\mathrm{mm4})$ | Zeff <br> $\mathrm{mm3}$ | $\phi M$ <br> $(\mathrm{KNm})$ | M* <br> $(\mathrm{KNm})$ |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 45 | $3.89 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $5.99 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 183.28 | 70 |

Table 22: Analysis or Bending Capacity
$\phi \mathrm{M}>\mathrm{M}^{*}$. ok

## Wind Design AS1170.2

## Site Wind Speed

Using section 2.2 the wind speed at the site is:

$$
V_{d e s, \theta}=V_{s i t, B}=V_{R} M_{d}\left(M_{z, c a t} M_{s} M_{t}\right)
$$

Each coefficient is found using Section 3 of the standards.
Terrain category 3 was chosen due to the site being located in city and it was assumed similar sized buildings were surrounding it yet minimal shielding for the 4 story building as no information was provided beyond the immediate surrounding. It was also assumed no hill was present.

| Directions | V(25) | V(500) | M(d) | TC | M(z,cat) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SW | 37 | 45 | 0.9 | 3 | 0.86 |
| W | 37 | 45 | 1 | 3 | 0.86 |
| NW | 37 | 45 | 0.95 | 3 | 0.86 |
| N | 37 | 45 | 1 | 3 | 0.86 |
| NE | 37 | 45 | 0.85 | 3 | 0.86 |
| E | 37 | 45 | 0.8 | 3 | 0.86 |
| SE | 37 | 45 | 0.8 | 3 | 0.86 |
| S | 37 | 45 | 0.85 | 3 | 0.86 |
| Direction | Shielding? |  | M(s) | M(h) | V(sit, $\beta$ ) m/s |
| SW | No |  | 1 | 1 | 34.830 |
| W | no |  | 1 | 1 | 38.700 |
| NW | no |  | 1 | 1 | 36.765 |
| N | no |  | 1 | 1 | 38.700 |
| NE | no |  | 1 | 1 | 32.895 |
| E | no |  | 1 | 1 | 30.960 |
| SE | No |  | 1 | 1 | 30.960 |
| $\underline{S}$ | Yes |  | 0.9625 | 1 | 31.661 |

Table 21: Coefficients for determining site wind speed.
$V_{R}=45$
$M_{d}=1$
$M_{z, \text { cat }}=0.86$
$M_{s}=1$
$M_{t}=1$
$V_{\text {sitB }}=38.7 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$

Site Wind Pressure
<2.4.1> Provides the formula for finding the wind pressure on the structure.

$$
p=0.5 p_{a i r} V_{d e s}^{2} C_{f i g} C_{d y n}
$$

$\mathrm{P}_{\text {air }}=1.2 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$
$C_{d y n}=1$ as non of the elements will have a natural frequency of less then 1 Hz
$V_{\text {des } \theta}=38.7 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$
$\mathrm{p}=898.614$ cfig
Using the buildings dimensions and openings the pressure can be found below with various cases considered. The aerodynamic shape factor is found below using section 5 of the standards.

The coefficients for internal and external pressure were calculated and can be seen in appendix 1.

## Pressure

Following finding all the aerodynamic shape factors the pressure acting on each surface can be found and the worst case scenario determined using:

$$
p=0.5 p_{a i r} V_{d e s}^{2} C_{f i g} C_{d y n}
$$

4 Story Northern Wind

| Northern Wind | Acting location | p 1 (pa) | p 2 (pa) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Windward Wall |  | 575.11 | 0 |
| Leeward Wall |  | -192.66 | 0 |
| Side Wall | $0-\mathrm{h}$ | -467.28 | 0 |
|  | $\mathrm{~h}-2 \mathrm{~h}$ | -359.45 | 0 |
|  | $2 \mathrm{~h}-3 \mathrm{~h}$ | -215.67 | 0 |
|  | $3 \mathrm{~h}+$ | 0 | 0 |
| Roof | $0-0.5 \mathrm{~h}$ | -647.00 | -287.56 |
|  | $0.5 \mathrm{~h}-\mathrm{h}$ | -647.00 | -287.56 |
|  | $\mathrm{~h}-2 \mathrm{~h}$ | -359.45 | 0 |
|  | $2 \mathrm{~h}-3 \mathrm{~h}$ | -215.67 | 71.89 |
|  | $3 \mathrm{~h}+$ | 0 | 0 |

Table 22: External pressure

| Northern Wind |  | Acting location | p 1 (pa) | p 2 (pa) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Case 1 | None | Surface | -143.78 | 0.00 |
| Case 2 | N/E | Surface | -206.32 | -81.23 |
|  | N/W | Surface | -199.85 | -141.62 |
|  | N/S | Surface | -214.95 | -7.91 |
| Case 3 | N/0 | Surface | 575.11 | 0.00 |
| Case 4 | E/0 | 0-h | -467.28 | 0.00 |
|  |  | h-2h | -359.45 | 0.00 |
|  |  | 2h-3h | -215.67 | 0.00 |
| Case 5 | W/0 | h-h | -467.28 | 0.00 |
|  |  | 2h-3h | -359.45 | 0.00 |
|  |  | Surface | -215.67 | 0.00 |
| Case 6 | S/0 | -194.10 | 0.00 |  |

Table 23: Internal Pressure

| Windward: | 575.11 pa |
| :--- | :--- |
| Leeward: | -192.66 pa |
| Internal : | -143.784 pa |
|  | 624.00 pa |
| Net Pressure | $\mathbf{0 . 6 2} \mathbf{~ k p a}$ |
| Roof uplift |  |
| Largest External uplift | -647.00 pa |
| Internal pressure @ ext roof uplift | 575.11 pa |
|  | $\mathbf{1 . 2 2} \mathbf{~ k p a}$ |

Table 24: Worst cases

For the Roof pressure acting down along the structure:

| Location | Roof Down pressure (pa) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $0-0.5 \mathrm{~h}$ | 179.72 | 0.18 |
| $0.5 \mathrm{~h}-\mathrm{h}$ | 179.72 | 0.18 |
| h-2h | 359.45 | 0.36 |
| 2h-3h | 287.56 | 0.29 |

Table 25: Roof downward pressure

| Floor | $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{m}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{m}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{( \mathbf { k N } )}$ | $\left.\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{( k N}\right)$ | $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{C U M}$ | $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{C U M}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| R | 5.79 | 13.42 | 3.61 | 8.37 | 3.61 | 8.37 |
| 3 | 41.96 | 97.1 | 26.18 | 60.59 | 29.80 | 68.96 |
| 2 | 41.96 | 97.1 | 26.18 | 60.59 | 55.98 | 129.55 |
| 1 | 41.96 | 97.1 | 26.18 | 60.59 | 82.16 | 190.14 |
| G | 41.96 | 97.1 | 26.18 | 60.59 | 108.34 | 250.73 |

Table 26: Overall forces distributed per wall for Northern wind.
4 Story Western Wind

| Western Wind | Acting location | p 1 (pa) | p 2 (pa) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Windward Wall | Surface | 575.11 | 0 |
| Leeward Wall | Surface | -359.45 | 0 |
| Side Wall | $0-\mathrm{h}$ | -467.28 | 0 |
|  | h-2h | 0 | 0 |
|  | $2 \mathrm{~h}-3 \mathrm{~h}$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $3 \mathrm{~h}+$ | 0 | 0 |
| Roof | $0-0.5 \mathrm{~h}$ | -647.00 | -287.56 |
|  | $0.5 \mathrm{~h}-\mathrm{h}$ | -647.00 | -287.56 |
|  | h-2h | 0 | 0 |
|  | $2 \mathrm{~h}-3 \mathrm{~h}$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $3 \mathrm{~h}+$ | 0 | 0 |

Table 27: External pressure

| Western Wind |  | Acting location | p 1 (pa) | p 2 (pa) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Case 1 | None | Surface | -143.78 | 0 |
| Case 2 | N/E | 0-h | -321.34 | -196.26 |
|  | N/W | 0-h | -396.83 | -336.44 |
|  | N/S | 0-h | -225.73 | -18.69 |
| Case 3 | N/0 | 0-h | -467.28 | 0 |
|  |  | h-2h | -359.45 | 0 |
|  |  | 2h-3h | -215.67 | 0 |
| Case 4 | E/0 | surface | -359.45 | 0 |
| Case 5 | W/0 | surface | 575.11 | 0 |
| Case 6 | S/0 | 0-h | -467.28 | $\mathbf{0}$ |
|  |  | 2h-3h | -359.45 | 0 |
|  |  | -215.67 | 0 |  |

Table 28: Internal Pressure

| Windward: | 575.11 pa |
| :--- | :--- |
| Leeward: | -359.45 pa |
| Internal : | -143.78 pa |
|  | 790.78 pa |
| Net Pressure | $\mathbf{0 . 7 9} \mathbf{~ k p a}$ |
| Roof uplift |  |
| Largest External uplift | -647.00 pa |
| Internal pressure @ ext roof uplift | 575.11 pa |
|  | $\mathbf{1 . 2 2 2 1 1 5 0 4} \mathbf{~ k p a}$ |

Table 29: Worst cases

| Location | Roof Down Pressure (pa) | Roof Down Pressure (kpa) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $0-0.5 \mathrm{~h}$ | 179.72 | 0.18 |
| $0.5 \mathrm{~h}-\mathrm{h}$ | 71.89 | 0.07 |
| h-2h | 215.67 | 0.22 |
| 2h-3h | 359.45 | 0.36 |

Table 30: Roof downward pressure

| Floor | $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{m}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{m}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\left.\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{( k N}\right)$ | $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{( k N} \mathbf{)}$ | $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{C U M}$ | $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{C U M}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| R | 5.79 | 13.42 | 5.79 | 13.42 | 4.58 | 10.61 |
| 3 | 41.96 | 97.1 | 41.96 | 97.10 | 33.18 | 76.78 |
| 2 | 41.96 | 97.1 | 41.96 | 97.10 | 33.18 | 76.78 |
| 1 | 41.96 | 97.1 | 41.96 | 97.10 | 33.18 | 76.78 |
| G | 41.96 | 97.1 | 41.96 | 97.10 | 33.18 | 76.78 |

Table 31: Overall forces distributed per wall for Western wind.

## 2 Story Northern Wind

| Northern Wind | Acting location | p 1 (pa) | p 2 (pa) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Windward Wall |  | 575.11 | 0.00 |
| Leeward Wall |  | -199.13 | 0.00 |
| Side Wall | $0-h$ | -467.28 | 0.00 |
|  | $\mathrm{~h}-2 \mathrm{~h}$ | -359.45 | 0.00 |
|  | $2 \mathrm{~h}-3 \mathrm{~h}$ | -215.67 | 0.00 |
|  | $3 \mathrm{~h}+$ | -143.78 | 0.00 |
| Roof | $0-0.5 \mathrm{~h}$ | -647.00 | -287.56 |
|  | $0.5 \mathrm{~h}-\mathrm{h}$ | -647.00 | -287.56 |
|  | $\mathrm{~h}-2 \mathrm{~h}$ | -359.45 | 0.00 |
|  | $2 \mathrm{~h}-3 \mathrm{~h}$ | -215.67 | 71.89 |
|  | $3 \mathrm{~h}+$ | -143.78 | 143.78 |

Table 32: External Pressure

| Northern Wind |  | Acting location | p 1 (pa) | p 2 (pa) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Case 1 | None | Surface | -143.78 | 0.00 |
| Case 2 | N/E | Surface | -209.20 | -77.64 |
|  | N/W | Surface | -213.51 | -25.88 |
|  | N/S | Surface | -210.64 | -63.98 |
| Case 3 | N/0 | Surface | 575.11 | 0.00 |
| Case 4 | E/0 | 0-h | -467.28 | 0.00 |
|  |  | h-2h | -359.45 | 0.00 |
|  |  | 2h-3h | -215.67 | 0.00 |
| Case 5 | W/0 | h-2h | -467.28 | 0.00 |
|  |  | 2h-3h | -359.45 | 0.00 |
|  |  | Surface | -215.67 | 0.00 |
| Case 6 | S/0 | -194.10 | 0.00 |  |

Table 33: Internal Pressure

| Windward: | 575.11 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Leeward: | -199.13 |
| Internal : | -143.78 |
|  | 630.47 |
| Net Pressure | $\mathbf{0 . 6 3}$ |
| Roof uplift |  |
| Largest External uplift | -647.00 |
| Internal pressure @ ext roof uplift | 575.11 |
|  | $\mathbf{1 . 2 2}$ |

Table 34: Worst cases

| Location | Roof Down pressure (pa) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $0-0.5 \mathrm{~h}$ | 179.72 | 0.18 |
| $0.5 \mathrm{~h}-\mathrm{h}$ | 179.72 | 0.18 |
| h-2h | 359.45 | 0.36 |
| $2 \mathrm{~h}-3 \mathrm{~h}$ | 287.56 | 0.29 |

Table 35: Roof downward pressure

| Floor | $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{m}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{m}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{( \mathbf { k N } )}$ | $\left.\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{Y}} \mathbf{( k N}\right)$ | $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{C U M}$ | $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{Y}} \mathbf{C U M}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| R | 5.37 | 12.50 | 3.39 | 7.88 | 3.39 | 7.88 |
| 1.00 | 38.86 | 90.41 | 24.50 | 57.00 | 27.89 | 64.88 |
| G | 38.86 | 90.41 | 24.50 | 57.00 | 52.39 | 121.88 |

Table 36: Overall forces distributed per wall for Northern wind.

2 Story Western Wind

| Western Wind | Location | p 1 (pa) | p 2 (pa) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Windward Wall | Surface | 575.11 | 0.00 |
| Leeward Wall | Surface | -359.45 | 0.00 |
| Side Wall | $0-\mathrm{h}$ | -467.28 | 0.00 |
|  | h-2h | -359.45 | 0.00 |
|  | $2 \mathrm{~h}-3 \mathrm{~h}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | $3 \mathrm{~h}+$ | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Roof | $0-0.5 \mathrm{~h}$ | -647.00 | -287.56 |
|  | $0.5 \mathrm{~h}-\mathrm{h}$ | -647.00 | -287.56 |
|  | h-2h | -359.45 | 0.00 |
|  | $2 \mathrm{~h}-3 \mathrm{~h}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | $3 \mathrm{~h}+$ | 0.00 | 0.00 |

Table 37: External Pressure

| Western Wind |  | Location | p 1 (pa) | p 2 (pa) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Case 1 | None | Surface | -143.78 | 0.00 |
| Case 2 | S/E | $0-\mathrm{h}$ | -314.16 | -182.60 |
|  |  | h-2h | -297.62 | -204.88 |
|  | S/W | $0-\mathrm{h}$ | -248.02 | -60.39 |
|  |  | h-2h | -234.36 | -46.73 |
|  | S/N | $0-\mathrm{h}$ | -294.03 | -144.50 |
|  |  | h-2h | -261.68 | -115.02 |
| Case 3 | N/0 | $0-\mathrm{h}$ | -467.28 | 0.00 |
|  |  | h-2h | -359.45 | 0.00 |
|  |  | 2h-3h | -215.67 | 0.00 |
| Case 4 | E/0 |  | -359.45 | 0.00 |
| Case 5 | W/0 |  | 575.11 | 0.00 |
| Case 6 | S/0 | $0-h$ | -467.28 | 0.00 |
|  |  | h-2h | -359.45 | 0.00 |
|  |  | 2h-3h | -215.67 | 0.00 |

Table 38: Internal Pressure

| Windward: | 575.11 pa |
| :--- | :--- |
| Leeward: | -359.45 pa |
| Internal : | -143.78 pa |
|  | 790.78 pa |
| Net Pressure | $\mathbf{0 . 7 9} \mathbf{~ k p a}$ |
| Roof uplift |  |
| Largest External uplift | -647.00 pa |
| Internal pressure @ ext roof uplift | 575.11 pa |
|  | $\mathbf{1 . 2 2} \mathbf{~ p a ~}$ |

Table 39: Worst cases

| Location | Roof Down Pressure (pa) | Roof Down Pressure (kpa) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0-0.5h | 179.72 | 0.18 |
| 0.5h-h | 179.72 | 0.18 |
| h-2h | 359.45 | 0.36 |
| 2h-3h | 215.67 | 0.22 |

Table 40: Roof downward pressure

| Floor | $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{m}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{m}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\left.\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{( k N}\right)$ | $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{k N})$ | $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{C U M}$ | $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{C U M}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| R | 5.37 | 12.50 | 4.25 | 9.88 | 4.25 | 9.88 |
| 1.00 | 38.86 | 90.41 | 30.73 | 71.49 | 34.98 | 81.37 |
| G | 38.86 | 90.41 | 30.73 | 71.49 | 65.71 | 152.86 |

Table 41: Overall forces distributed per wall for Western wind.

Now that all the pressures have been found forces can be used in the lateral design section.

## Earthquake AS1170.4

<T3.2>
The Hazard design factor takes into account the location of the site.
Melbourne $\quad Z=0.9$
<T4.1>
Due to no Geotechnical data being provided on the soil at the location, and assumption was made that the soil will be soft soil being an inner city location.
Assume soft soil site $=$ De
<T3.1>
Annual probability factor of exceedance is based on how likely the event is to occur, usually $1 / 500$ is chosen as Earthquakes are rare occurrences.
$K_{p}=1$ for $1 / 500$
<T2.1>
Importance level 2 building with less then 50 m height is used to obtain the category for the earthquake design, being a category 2.
The overall weights per module are then computed.

| Module | Weight (kN) |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 Person module | 622.43 |
| 1 Person module | 467.53 |
| Roof 1 person module | 129.09 |
| Roof 2 person module | 177.7 |
| Roof 4 story shaft | 79.36 |
| Roof 2 story shaft | 56.96 |
| Shaft 4 story | 300 |
| Shaft 2 story | 220 |

Table 42: Weights per module

## 4 Story

The following involves finding the Vertical distribution of loading for the 4 story building following section 6 of the standards.
$\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}=14.54 \mathrm{~m}$
Natural period of structure:

$$
T_{1}=1.25 k_{t} h_{n}^{0.75}
$$

$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{t}}=0.05$ - other structures (timber)
$\underline{\mathrm{T}}_{1}=0.47 \mathrm{~s}$
Total Weight for the 4 story building:
$\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{t}}=6207.176 \mathrm{kN}$
<T6.4>
Spectral shape factor is determined from the equations of spectra:
check 1.98/T $\leq 3.68 \quad 1.98 / 0.47=4.25$, Not Ok
Use 3.68
<T6.5A>
Table provide coefficients for finding the distribution of forces.

| Timber shear walls |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mu$ | 3 |
| $S_{p}$ | 0.67 |
| $S_{p} / \mu$ | 0.22 |
| $\mu / S_{p}$ | 4.5 |

Table 43: Timber shear wall coefficients
<6.2.1>
Base shear force

$$
V=\left[k_{p} Z C_{h} T_{1} \frac{S_{p}}{\mu}\right] W_{t}
$$

$\mathrm{V}=452.28 \mathrm{Kn}$

$$
F_{i}=\frac{W_{i} h_{i}^{k}}{\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(W_{j} h_{j}^{k}\right)} V
$$

$\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(W_{j} h_{j}^{k}\right)=48311.96 \mathrm{kN}$
$W_{i} h_{i}^{k}=$ seismic weight of structure at ith level times the height of level I above base of the structure

| Vertical distribution of forces | Force (kN) | Cumulative Force (kN) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| F1 | 42.43 | 407.47 |
| F2 | 82.06 | 365.04 |
| F3 | 121.68 | 282.98 |
| R | 161.30 | 161.30 |
| Distribution of Moments | Moment (kNm) |  |
| M1 | 144.27 |  |
| M2 | 702.24 |  |
| M3 | 1943.37 |  |
| R | 4137.08 |  |

Table 44: Distributed forces and moments

## 2 Story

Similarly the following involves finding the vertical distribution of loading for the 4 story building following section 6 of the standards.
$\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}=7.74 \mathrm{~m}$
Natural period of structure:

$$
T_{1}=1.25 k_{t} h_{n}^{0.75}
$$

$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{t}}=0.05$ - other structures (timber)
$\mathrm{T}_{1}=0.29 \mathrm{~s}$

Total Weight for the 2 story building:
$W_{\mathrm{t}}=2983.67 \mathrm{kN}$
<T6.4>
Spectral shape factor is determined from the equations of spectra:
Check 1.98/T $\leq 3.68 \quad 1.98 / 0.47=6.83$, Not Ok
Use 3.68
<T6.5A>
Table provide coefficients for finding the distribution of forces.

| Timber shear walls |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mu$ | 3 |
| $S_{p}$ | 0.67 |
| $S_{p} / \mu$ | 0.22 |
| $\mu / S_{p}$ | 4.5 |

Table 45: Timber shear wall coefficients
<6.2.1>
Base shear force

$$
V=\left[k_{p} Z C_{h} T_{1} \frac{S_{p}}{\mu}\right] W_{t}
$$

$V=217.40 \mathrm{Kn}$

$$
F_{i}=\frac{W_{i} h_{i}^{k}}{\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(W_{j} h_{j}^{k}\right)} V
$$

$\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(W_{j} h_{j}^{k}\right)=48311.96 \mathrm{kN}$
$W_{i} h_{i}^{k}=$ seismic weight of structure at ith level times the heigh of level I above base of the structure

| Vertical distribution of forces | Force (kN) | Cumulative Force (kN) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| F1 | 77.48 | 227.43 |
| R | 149.95 | 149.95 |
| Distribution of Moments |  | Moment (kNm) |
| M1 | 263.44 |  |
| M2 | 1283.09 |  |

Table 46: Distributed forces and moments
The forces located act at the point where the floor is located as that is the interface between modules where the most mass is present.

## Lateral Loading

In Plane Stiffness - Panel contribution
Following Wood solutions design guide 50, for the lateral load distribution per wall, the relative stiffness for each shear wall should be calculated. The stiffness is the force over unit deformation.

Calculate the in plane bending deformation of the shear wall, sue to a 1 kN load:
$I=\frac{d_{o} b^{3}}{12}$
$\delta_{m}=\frac{F_{k} h^{3}}{3 E I}$
To calculate the shear deformation of the wall:
$\delta_{v}=\frac{F_{k} h}{G A_{z}}$
$\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{v}}=0.75 \mathrm{G}$
$\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{z}}=\mathrm{d}_{\text {gross }}{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$
The $E, G$ values were obtained from the XLAM supplier handbook.

| $\mathbf{d O}(\mathbf{m m})$ | 90 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{m m})$ | 2500 |
| $\mathbf{I}\left(\mathrm{~mm}^{4}\right)$ | $1.17188 \mathrm{E}+11$ |
| Fk (N) | 1000 |
| h (mm) | 2875 |
| Eav (MPa) | 7333 |
| סm (mm) | 0.009217843 |
| G (MPa) | 533 |
| Gv (MPa) | 399.75 |
| Az (mm $)$ | 225000 |
| סv (mm) | 0.031964422 |

Table 47: Information for wall deformation
The following is repeated from the various lengths of walls to be considered.

| $\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{m m})$ | $\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{m m})$ | $\mathbf{d} \mathbf{( m m )}$ <br> $\mathbf{( m m})$ | $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{m m})$ | $\mathbf{G}$ <br> $\mathbf{( M P a )}$ | $\mathbf{E}$ <br> $\mathbf{( M P a )}$ | $\mathbf{F k}(\mathbf{N})$ | $\mathbf{E} \mathbf{a v}$ <br> $\mathbf{( M P a})$ | $\mathbf{G v}$ <br> $(\mathbf{M P a})$ | $\mathbf{A z}$ <br> $\left(\mathbf{m m}^{\mathbf{2}}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 125 | 12000 | 90 | 3400 | 533 | 8000 | 1000 | 7333.33 | 399.75 | 1080000 |
| 125 | 10400 | 90 | 3400 | 533 | 8000 | 1000 | 7333.33 | 399.75 | 936000 |
| 125 | 6000 | 90 | 3400 | 533 | 8000 | 1000 | 7333.33 | 399.75 | 540000 |
| 125 | 5400 | 90 | 3400 | 533 | 8000 | 1000 | 7333.33 | 399.75 | 486000 |
| 125 | 4400 | 90 | 3400 | 533 | 8000 | 1000 | 7333.33 | 399.75 | 396000 |
| 125 | 4000 | 90 | 3400 | 533 | 8000 | 1000 | 7333.33 | 399.75 | 360000 |

Table 48: Panel data

The extension of the tie rods $\delta z$ and displacement in one of the two joints between the wall and ceiling $\delta f$ can be calculated as follows:
$\delta_{z}=\frac{F_{k} h^{2}}{b^{2} c_{z} n}$
$C_{z}$ is the vertical stiffness from the connection supplier handbook
$N$ is the number of expansion rods per end of panel.
Convert the vertical stiffness $\mathrm{c}_{z}$ to horizontal stiffness using:
$d_{x}=\frac{h d_{y}}{b} \quad c_{z}=\frac{F_{k}}{d_{x}}$
Calculated the displacement between wall and ceiling:
$\delta_{f}=\frac{F_{k}}{n c_{f}}$
$C_{f}$ is the connection stiffness from a supplier handbook
$N$ is the number of fixings per panel at 1 m lengths.
Therefore the total displacement per unit force can be found by summing up the displacements

$$
\delta_{t}=\delta_{m}+\delta_{v}+\delta_{z}+\delta_{f}
$$

| $\left(\mathbf{m m}^{4}\right)$ | $\boldsymbol{\delta m}(\mathbf{m m})$ | $\boldsymbol{\delta v}(\mathbf{m m})$ | $\mathbf{C z}$ | $\mathbf{n}$ | $\boldsymbol{\delta z}(\mathbf{m m})$ | $\mathbf{C f}$ | $\mathbf{n}$ | $\boldsymbol{\delta f}(\mathbf{m m})$ | $\boldsymbol{\delta t}(\mathbf{m m} / \mathbf{K N})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1.296 \mathrm{E}+13$ | 0.000138 | 0.007875 | 12381 | 2 | 0.0032 | 11240 | 8 | 0.0111 | 0.0224 |
| $8.43648 \mathrm{E}+12$ | 0.000212 | 0.009087 | 12381 | 2 | 0.0043 | 11240 | 8 | 0.0111 | 0.0247 |
| $1.62 \mathrm{E}+12$ | 0.001103 | 0.015751 | 12381 | 2 | 0.0130 | 11240 | 8 | 0.0111 | 0.0409 |
| $1.18098 \mathrm{E}+12$ | 0.001513 | 0.017501 | 12381 | 2 | 0.0160 | 11240 | 5 | 0.0178 | 0.0528 |
| $6.3888 \mathrm{E}+11$ | 0.002796 | 0.021478 | 12381 | 2 | 0.0241 | 11240 | 3 | 0.0297 | 0.0780 |
| $4.8 \mathrm{E}+11$ | 0.003722 | 0.023626 | 12381 | 2 | 0.0292 | 11240 | 3 | 0.0297 | 0.0862 |

Table 49: Computing total displacement per unit force
The relative contributions for each panel can therefore be checked below:

|  | $\boldsymbol{\delta t}(\mathbf{m m})$ | $\boldsymbol{\delta m}(\mathbf{m m})$ | $\boldsymbol{\delta v}(\mathbf{m m})$ | $\boldsymbol{\delta z}(\mathbf{m m})$ | $\boldsymbol{\delta f}(\mathbf{m m})$ | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| T1 | 0.02 | $0.62 \%$ | $35.20 \%$ | $14.49 \%$ | $49.70 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| T2 | 0.02 | $0.86 \%$ | $36.74 \%$ | $17.45 \%$ | $44.96 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| T3 | 0.04 | $2.69 \%$ | $38.47 \%$ | $31.67 \%$ | $27.16 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| T4 | 0.05 | $2.86 \%$ | $33.13 \%$ | $30.31 \%$ | $33.69 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| T5 | 0.08 | $3.58 \%$ | $27.52 \%$ | $30.90 \%$ | $38.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| T6 | 0.09 | $4.32 \%$ | $27.41 \%$ | $33.86 \%$ | $34.41 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |

Table 50: Panel contributions for displacement

Stiffness per wall length is found to be:
K=Force/Displacement

| Wall Length (mm) | K (N/mm) |
| :--- | :--- |
| 12000 | 44690.51 |
| 10400 | 40427.11 |
| 6000 | 24424.63 |
| 5400 | 18933.38 |
| 4400 | 12813.24 |
| 4000 | 11603.40 |

Table 51: Stiffness for each individual wall element

| $\boldsymbol{\Sigma Y i}(\mathbf{m})$ | $\boldsymbol{\Sigma K x i}(\mathbf{N} / \mathbf{m m})$ | $\boldsymbol{\Sigma X i}(\mathbf{m})$ | $\boldsymbol{\Sigma K y i}(\mathbf{N} / \mathbf{m m})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3.49 | 1218407.87 | 5.18 | 817045.99 |

Table 52: Summation of stiffness of 4-story building in the $x$ and $y$ direction

| Level 3 Kx ( $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{mm}$ ) | Level 3 Ky ( $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{mm}$ ) | Level 2 Kx ( $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{mm}$ ) | Level 2 Ky ( $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{mm}$ ) | Level 2 Kx ( $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{mm}$ ) | Level 2 Ky ( $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{mm}$ ) | Level 1 Kx ( $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{mm}$ ) | Level 1 Ky ( $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{mm}$ ) | Ground Kx ( $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{mm}$ ) | Ground <br> Ky <br> (N/mm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 178536.76 | 208102.02 | 346623.70 | 202981.33 | 346623.70 | 202981.33 | 346623.70 | 202981.33 | 346623.70 | 202981.33 |

Table 53: Total floor stiffness in the $x$ and $y$ direction

Considering Wind Loading, the following table has been split up over 2 pages. It consists of each wall stiffness with a relevant wall load.

| $3^{\text {rd }}$ Story |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | W Load |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wall <br> No | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{L} \\ & (\mathrm{~mm}) \end{aligned}$ | Direction | Yi(mm) | Xi(mm) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{K} \\ & (\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Kx} \\ & (\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Ky} \\ & (\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1kN } \\ & \text { x\% } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1kN } \\ & \text { y\% } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | F (kN) Wx | F (kN) Wy |
| 3.1x | 10400 | X | 5200 | 0 | 40427.11 | 40427.11 | 0 | 22.64\% | 0.00\% | 8.55 | 0.00 |
| 3.2x | 6000 | X | 235 | 0 | 24424.63 | 24424.63 | 0 | 13.68\% | 0.00\% | 5.17 | 0.00 |
| 3.3 x | 4400 | X | 5200 | 0 | 12813.24 | 12813.24 | 0 | 7.18\% | 0.00\% | 2.71 | 0.00 |
| 3.4x | 4000 | X | 6600 | 0 | 11603.40 | 11603.40 | 0 | 6.50\% | 0.00\% | 2.45 | 0.00 |
| 3.5 x | 4000 | x | 5400 | 0 | 11603.40 | 11603.40 | 0 | 6.50\% | 0.00\% | 2.45 | 0.00 |
| 3.6x | 10400 | X | 5200 | 0 | 40427.11 | 40427.11 | 0 | 22.64\% | 0.00\% | 8.55 | 0.00 |
| $3.7 x$ | 6000 | X | 235 | 0 | 24424.63 | 24424.63 | 0 | 13.68\% | 0.00\% | 5.17 | 0.00 |
| 3.8 x | 4400 | x | 5200 | 0 | 12813.24 | 12813.24 | 0 | 7.18\% | 0.00\% | 2.71 | 0.00 |
| 3.1y | 5400 | $y$ | 0 | 6400 | 18933.38 | 0 | 18933.38 | 0.00\% | 9.10\% | 0.00 | 7.95 |
| 3.2 y | 10400 | y | 0 | 2250 | 40427.11 | 0 | 40427.11 | 0.00\% | 19.43\% | 0.00 | 16.98 |
| $3.3 y$ | 12000 | y | 0 | 2125 | 44690.51 | 0 | 44690.51 | 0.00\% | 21.48\% | 0.00 | 18.77 |
| 3.4 y | 12000 | y | 0 | 2125 | 44690.51 | 0 | 44690.51 | 0.00\% | 21.48\% | 0.00 | 18.77 |
| $3.5 y$ | 10400 | y | 0 | 2250 | 40427.11 | 0 | 40427.11 | 0.00\% | 19.43\% | 0.00 | 16.98 |
| $3.6 y$ | 5400 | y | 0 | 3500 | 18933.38 | 0 | 18933.38 | 0.00\% | 9.10\% | 0.00 | 7.95 |


| $2^{\text {nd }}$ Story |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | W Load |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wall No | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{L} \\ & (\mathrm{~mm}) \end{aligned}$ | Direction | Yi(mm) | Xi(mm) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{K} \\ & (\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Kx} \\ & (\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{Ky} \\ & \text { (N/mm) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 1kN } \\ & \text { x\% } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1kN } \\ & \text { y\% } \end{aligned}$ | F (kN) Wx | F (kN) Wy |
| 2.1x | 10400 | x | 5200 | 0 | 40427.11 | 40427.11 | 0 | 11.66\% | 0.00\% | 8.27 |  |
| 2.2x | 10400 | X | 120 | 0 | 40427.11 | 40427.11 | 0 | 11.66\% | 0.00\% | 8.27 | 0.00 |
| 2.3x | 10400 | X | 60 | 0 | 40427.11 | 40427.11 | 0 | 11.66\% | 0.00\% | 8.27 | 0.00 |
| 2.4x | 10400 | X | 5200 | 0 | 40427.11 | 40427.11 | 0 | 11.66\% | 0.00\% | 8.27 | 0.00 |
| 2.5x | 4000 | X | 6600 | 0 | 11603.40 | 11603.40 | 0 | 3.35\% | 0.00\% | 2.37 | 0.00 |
| 2.6x | 4000 | X | 5400 | 0 | 11603.40 | 11603.40 | 0 | 3.35\% | 0.00\% | 2.37 | 0.00 |
| 2.7x | 10400 | X | 5200 | 0 | 40427.11 | 40427.11 | 0 | 11.66\% | 0.00\% | 8.27 | 0.00 |
| 2.8x | 10400 | X | 120 | 0 | 40427.11 | 40427.11 | 0 | 11.66\% | 0.00\% | 8.27 | 0.00 |
| 2.9x | 10400 | x | 60 | 0 | 40427.11 | 40427.11 | 0 | 11.66\% | 0.00\% | 8.27 | 0.00 |
| 2.10x | 10400 | x | 5200 | 0 | 40427.11 | 40427.11 | 0 | 11.66\% | 0.00\% | 8.27 | 0.00 |
| 2.1 y | 5400 | Y | 0 | 14200 | 18933.38 | 0 | 18933.38 | 0.00\% | 9.33\% | 0.00 | 15.31 |
| 2.2y | 5400 | y | 0 | 4200 | 18933.38 | 0 | 18933.38 | 0.00\% | 9.33\% | 0.00 | 15.31 |
| 2.3 y | 5400 | $y$ | 0 | 2250 | 18933.38 | 0 | 18933.38 | 0.00\% | 9.33\% | 0.00 | 15.31 |
| 2.4 y | 12000 | $y$ | 0 | 2125 | 44690.51 | 0 | 44690.51 | 0.00\% | 22.02\% | 0.00 | 36.15 |
| 2.5 y | 12000 | y | 0 | 2125 | 44690.51 | 0 | 44690.51 | 0.00\% | 22.02\% | 0.00 | 36.15 |
| 2.6 y | 5400 | Y | 0 | 2250 | 18933.38 | 0 | 18933.38 | 0.00\% | 9.33\% | 0.00 | 15.31 |
| 2.7y | 5400 | y | 0 | 4200 | 18933.38 | 0 | 18933.38 | 0.00\% | 9.33\% | 0.00 | 15.31 |
| 2.8y | 5400 | $y$ | 0 | 14200 | 18933.38 | 0 | 18933.38 | 0.00\% | 9.33\% | 0.00 | 15.31 |


| $1^{\text {st }}$ Floor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Wind |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wall No | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{L} \\ & (\mathrm{~mm}) \end{aligned}$ | Direction | Yi(mm) | Xi(mm) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{K} \\ & (\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Kx} \\ & (\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Ky } \\ & \text { ( } \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{mm} \text { ) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{1kN} \\ & \mathrm{x} \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 1kN } \\ & \mathrm{y} \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { F (kN) } \\ & \mathrm{Wx} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | F (kN) Wy |
| 1.1x | 10400 | x | 5200 | 0 | 40427.11 | 40427.11 | 0 | 11.66\% | 0.00\% | 12.14 | 0.00 |
| 1.2x | 10400 | x | 120 | 0 | 40427.11 | 40427.11 | 0 | 11.66\% | 0.00\% | 12.14 | 0.00 |
| 1.3x | 10400 | x | 60 | 0 | 40427.11 | 40427.11 | 0 | 11.66\% | 0.00\% | 12.14 | 0.00 |
| 1.4x | 10400 | X | 5200 | 0 | 40427.11 | 40427.11 | 0 | 11.66\% | 0.00\% | 12.14 | 0.00 |
| 1.5x | 4000 | X | 6600 | 0 | 11603.40 | 11603.40 | 0 | 3.35\% | 0.00\% | 3.49 | 0.00 |
| 1.6x | 4000 | x | 5400 | 0 | 11603.40 | 11603.40 | 0 | 3.35\% | 0.00\% | 3.49 | 0.00 |
| 1.7x | 10400 | X | 5200 | 0 | 40427.11 | 40427.11 | 0 | 11.66\% | 0.00\% | 12.14 | 0.00 |
| 1.8x | 10400 | X | 120 | 0 | 40427.11 | 40427.11 | 0 | 11.66\% | 0.00\% | 12.14 | 0.00 |
| 1.9x | 10400 | x | 60 | 0 | 40427.11 | 40427.11 | 0 | 11.66\% | 0.00\% | 12.14 | 0.00 |
| 1.10x | 10400 | x | 5200 | 0 | 40427.11 | 40427.11 | 0 | 11.66\% | 0.00\% | 12.14 | 0.00 |
| 1.1y | 5400 | y | 0 | 14200 | 18933.38 | 0 | 18933.38 | 0.00\% | 9.33\% | 0.00 | 22.48 |
| 1.2y | 5400 | y | 0 | 4200 | 18933.38 | 0 | 18933.38 | 0.00\% | 9.33\% | 0.00 | 22.48 |
| 1.3 y | 5400 | y | 0 | 2250 | 18933.38 | 0 | 18933.38 | 0.00\% | 9.33\% | 0.00 | 22.48 |
| 1.4 y | 12000 | y | 0 | 2125 | 44690.51 | 0 | 44690.51 | 0.00\% | 22.02\% | 0.00 | 53.05 |
| $1.5 y$ | 12000 | y | 0 | 2125 | 44690.51 | 0 | 44690.51 | 0.00\% | 22.02\% | 0.00 | 53.05 |
| 1.6y | 5400 | y | 0 | 2250 | 18933.38 | 0 | 18933.38 | 0.00\% | 9.33\% | 0.00 | 22.48 |
| 1.7y | 5400 | y | 0 | 4200 | 18933.38 | 0 | 18933.38 | 0.00\% | 9.33\% | 0.00 | 22.48 |
| 1.8y | 5400 | y | 0 | 14200 | 18933.38 | 0 | 18933.38 | 0.00\% | 9.33\% | 0.00 | 22.48 |


| G Floor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Wind |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wall <br> No | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{L} \\ & (\mathrm{~mm}) \end{aligned}$ | Direction | Yi(mm) | Xi(mm) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline K \\ & (\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}) \end{aligned}$ | Kx (N/mm) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ky } \\ & \text { (N/mm) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 1kN } \\ & \text { x\% } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 1kN } \\ & \mathrm{y} \% \end{aligned}$ | F (kN) Wx | F (kN) Wy |
| G.1x | 10400 | X | 5200 | 0 | 40427.11 | 40427.11 | 0 | 11.66\% | 0.00\% | 16.01 | 0.00 |
| G. 2 x | 10400 | X | 60 | 0 | 40427.11 | 40427.11 | 0 | 11.66\% | 0.00\% | 16.01 | 0.00 |
| G.3x | 10400 | X | 120 | 0 | 40427.11 | 40427.11 | 0 | 11.66\% | 0.00\% | 16.01 | 0.00 |
| G.4x | 10400 | X | 5200 | 0 | 40427.11 | 40427.11 | 0 | 11.66\% | 0.00\% | 16.01 | 0.00 |
| G.5x | 4000 | X | 6600 | 0 | 11603.40 | 11603.40 | 0 | 3.35\% | 0.00\% | 4.60 | 0.00 |
| G.6x | 4000 | X | 5400 | 0 | 11603.40 | 11603.40 | 0 | 3.35\% | 0.00\% | 4.60 | 0.00 |
| G.7x | 10400 | X | 5200 | 0 | 40427.11 | 40427.11 | 0 | 11.66\% | 0.00\% | 16.01 | 0.00 |
| G. 8 x | 10400 | x | 120 | 0 | 40427.11 | 40427.11 | 0 | 11.66\% | 0.00\% | 16.01 | 0.00 |
| G.9x | 10400 | X | 60 | 0 | 40427.11 | 40427.11 | 0 | 11.66\% | 0.00\% | 16.01 | 0.00 |
| G.10x | 10400 | X | 5200 | 0 | 40427.11 | 40427.11 | 0 | 11.66\% | 0.00\% | 16.01 | 0.00 |
| G.1y | 5400 | $y$ | 0 | 14200 | 18933.38 | 0 | 18933.38 | 0.00\% | 9.33\% | 0.00 | 29.64 |
| G. 2 y | 5400 | y | 0 | 4200 | 18933.38 | 0 | 18933.38 | 0.00\% | 9.33\% | 0.00 | 29.64 |
| G.3y | 5400 | $y$ | 0 | 2250 | 18933.38 | 0 | 18933.38 | 0.00\% | 9.33\% | 0.00 | 29.64 |
| G.4y | 12000 | y | 0 | 2125 | 44690.51 | 0 | 44690.51 | 0.00\% | 22.02\% | 0.00 | 69.96 |
| G.5y | 12000 | $y$ | 0 | 2125 | 44690.51 | 0 | 44690.51 | 0.00\% | 22.02\% | 0.00 | 69.96 |
| G.6y | 5400 | y | 0 | 2250 | 18933.38 | 0 | 18933.38 | 0.00\% | 9.33\% | 0.00 | 29.64 |
| G.7y | 5400 | y | 0 | 4200 | 18933.38 | 0 | 18933.38 | 0.00\% | 9.33\% | 0.00 | 29.64 |
| G.8y | 5400 | y | 0 | 14200 | 18933.38 | 0 | 18933.38 | 0.00\% | 9.33\% | 0.00 |  |
| $\Sigma(m)$ | 548.00 |  | 3.49 | 5.18 | $\Sigma$ | 1218407.87 | 817045.99 |  |  |  |  |

Table 54: Distribution of Wind loads on walls.

Considering the Wind Loading:

| 4Story | Northern | Western |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathbf{x}$ | $\mathbf{y}$ | $\mathbf{x}$ | $\mathbf{y}$ |
|  | N->S | W->E | N->S | W->E |
|  | Fx CUM (kN) | Fy CUM (kN) | Fx CUM (kN) | Fy CUM (kN) |
| Roof | 3.61 | 8.37 | 4.58 | 10.61 |
| Third Floor | 29.80 | 68.96 | 37.76 | 87.40 |
| Second Floor | 55.98 | 129.55 | 70.94 | 164.18 |
| First Floor | 82.16 | 190.14 | 104.12 | 240.97 |
| Ground Floor | 108.34 | 250.73 | 137.30 | 317.75 |

Table 55: Force distribution summary for 4 story building

| 2 Story | Northern | Western |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathbf{x}$ | $\mathbf{y}$ | $\mathbf{x}$ | $\mathbf{y}$ |
|  | N->S | W->E | N->S | W->E |
|  | Fx CUM (kN) | Fy CUM (kN) | Fx CUM (kN) | Fy CUM (kN) |
| Roof | 3.39 | 7.88 | 4.25 | 9.88 |
| First Floor | 27.89 | 64.88 | 34.98 | 81.37 |
| Ground Floor | 52.39 | 121.88 | 65.71 | 152.86 |

Table 56: Force distribution summary for 2 story building
We can check the drift:

|  | Drift $\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{m m})$ | Drift y (mm) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Roof | 0.03 | 0.05 |
| Third Floor | 0.21 | 0.42 |
| Second Floor | 0.20 | 0.81 |
| First Floor | 0.30 | 1.19 |
| Ground Floor | 0.40 | 1.57 |
| Max Drift | 0.40 | 1.57 |
| Total Drift | 1.14 | 4.03 |
| Limit, L/300 | $\mathbf{1 1 . 3 3}$ | okay |

Table 57: Drift check from the Western wind 4 story building

The Following Table is considering the Earthquake Loading, split over 2 pages. It considers the loads per each wall.

| $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ Story |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Earthquake |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wall <br> No | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{L} \\ & (\mathrm{~mm}) \end{aligned}$ | Direction | Yi(mm) | Xi(mm) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{K} \\ & (\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Kx} \\ & (\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Ky} \\ & (\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}) \end{aligned}$ | 1kN x\% | 1kN y\% | ky dx^2 | kx dy^2 | F <br> (kN) <br> Wx | F <br> (kN) <br> Wy |
| 3.1x | 10400 | x | 5200 | 0 | 40427.11 | 40427.11 | 0 | 22.64\% | 0.00\% | 0 | $1.09315 \mathrm{E}+12$ | 36.52 | 0.00 |
| 3.2 x | 6000 | x | 235 | 0 | 24424.63 | 24424.63 | 0 | 13.68\% | 0.00\% | 0 | 1348849940 | 22.07 | 0.00 |
| 3.3x | 4400 | x | 5200 | 0 | 12813.24 | 12813.24 | 0 | 7.18\% | 0.00\% | 0 | $3.4647 \mathrm{E}+11$ | 11.58 | 0.00 |
| 3.4x | 4000 | X | 6600 | 0 | 11603.40 | 11603.40 | 0 | 6.50\% | 0.00\% | 0 | $5.05444 \mathrm{E}+11$ | 10.48 | 0.00 |
| 3.5 x | 4000 | X | 5400 | 0 | 11603.40 | 11603.40 | 0 | 6.50\% | 0.00\% | 0 | $3.38355 \mathrm{E}+11$ | 10.48 | 0.00 |
| 3.6 x | 10400 | x | 5200 | 0 | 40427.11 | 40427.11 | 0 | 22.64\% | 0.00\% | 0 | $1.09315 \mathrm{E}+12$ | 36.52 | 0.00 |
| 3.7x | 6000 | x | 235 | 0 | 24424.63 | 24424.63 | 0 | 13.68\% | 0.00\% | 0 | 1348849940 | 22.07 | 0.00 |
| 3.8x | 4400 | x | 5200 | 0 | 12813.24 | 12813.24 | 0 | 7.18\% | 0.00\% | 0 | 3.4647E+11 | 11.58 | 0.00 |
| 3.1 y | 5400 | y | 0 | 6400 | 18933.38 | 0 | 18933.38 | 0.00\% | 9.10\% | 7.75511E+11 | 0 | 0.00 | 14.68 |
| $3.2 y$ | 10400 | y | 0 | 2250 | 40427.11 | 0 | 40427.11 | 0.00\% | 19.43\% | $2.04662 \mathrm{E}+11$ | 0 | 0.00 | 31.34 |
| $3.3 y$ | 12000 | y | 0 | 2125 | 44690.51 | 0 | 44690.51 | 0.00\% | 21.48\% | $2.01806 \mathrm{E}+11$ | 0 | 0.00 | 34.64 |
| 3.4 y | 12000 | $y$ | 0 | 2125 | 44690.51 | 0 | 44690.51 | 0.00\% | 21.48\% | $2.01806 \mathrm{E}+11$ | 0 | 0.00 | 34.64 |
| 3.5 y | 10400 | $y$ | 0 | 2250 | 40427.11 | 0 | 40427.11 | 0.00\% | 19.43\% | $2.04662 \mathrm{E}+11$ | 0 | 0.00 | 31.34 |
| 3.6 y | 5400 | $y$ | 0 | 3500 | 18933.38 | 0 | 18933.38 | 0.00\% | 9.10\% | $2.31934 \mathrm{E}+11$ | 0 | 0.00 | 14.68 |


| $2^{\text {nd }}$ Story |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Earthquake |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wall No | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{L} \\ & (\mathrm{~mm}) \end{aligned}$ | Direction | Yi(mm) | Xi(mm) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{K} \\ & (\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Kx} \\ & (\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ky } \\ & \text { ( } \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{mm} \text { ) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1kN x\% | 1kN y\% | ky dx^2 | kx dy^2 | F (kN) Wx | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { F } \\ & \text { (kN) } \\ & \text { Wy } \end{aligned}$ |
| 2.1x | 10400 | X | 5200 | 0 | 40427.11 | 40427.11 | 0 | 11.66\% | 0.00\% | 0 | $1.09315 \mathrm{E}+12$ | 14.19 | 0.00 |
| 2.2x | 10400 | x | 120 | 0 | 40427.11 | 40427.11 | 0 | 11.66\% | 0.00\% | 0 | 582150415.4 | 14.19 | 0.00 |
| 2.3 x | 10400 | x | 60 | 0 | 40427.11 | 40427.11 | 0 | 11.66\% | 0.00\% | 0 | 145537603.9 | 14.19 | 0.00 |
| 2.4x | 10400 | x | 5200 | 0 | 40427.11 | 40427.11 | 0 | 11.66\% | 0.00\% | 0 | $1.09315 \mathrm{E}+12$ | 14.19 | 0.00 |
| 2.5 x | 4000 | x | 6600 | 0 | 11603.40 | 11603.40 | 0 | 3.35\% | 0.00\% | 0 | $5.05444 \mathrm{E}+11$ | 4.07 | 0.00 |
| 2.6x | 4000 | x | 5400 | 0 | 11603.40 | 11603.40 | 0 | 3.35\% | 0.00\% | 0 | $3.38355 \mathrm{E}+11$ | 4.07 | 0.00 |
| 2.7x | 10400 | x | 5200 | 0 | 40427.11 | 40427.11 | 0 | 11.66\% | 0.00\% | 0 | $1.09315 \mathrm{E}+12$ | 14.19 | 0.00 |
| 2.8 x | 10400 | x | 120 | 0 | 40427.11 | 40427.11 | 0 | 11.66\% | 0.00\% | 0 | 582150415.4 | 14.19 | 0.00 |
| 2.9x | 10400 | x | 60 | 0 | 40427.11 | 40427.11 | 0 | 11.66\% | 0.00\% | 0 | 145537603.9 | 14.19 | 0.00 |
| 2.10x | 10400 | x | 5200 | 0 | 40427.11 | 40427.11 | 0 | 11.66\% | 0.00\% | 0 | $1.09315 \mathrm{E}+12$ | 14.19 | 0.00 |
| 2.1y | 5400 | y | 0 | 14200 | 18933.38 | 0 | 18933.38 | 0.00\% | 9.33\% | $3.81773 \mathrm{E}+12$ | 0 | 0.00 | 11.35 |
| 2.2y | 5400 | y | 0 | 4200 | 18933.38 | 0 | 18933.38 | 0.00\% | 9.33\% | $3.33985 \mathrm{E}+11$ | 0 | 0.00 | 11.35 |
| 2.3 y | 5400 | y | 0 | 2250 | 18933.38 | 0 | 18933.38 | 0.00\% | 9.33\% | 95850254791 | 0 | 0.00 | 11.35 |
| 2.4 y | 12000 | $y$ | 0 | 2125 | 44690.51 | 0 | 44690.51 | 0.00\% | 22.02\% | $2.01806 \mathrm{E}+11$ | 0 | 0.00 | 26.79 |
| 2.5 y | 12000 | $y$ | 0 | 2125 | 44690.51 | 0 | 44690.51 | 0.00\% | 22.02\% | $2.01806 \mathrm{E}+11$ | 0 | 0.00 | 26.79 |
| 2.6 y | 5400 | y | 0 | 2250 | 18933.38 | 0 | 18933.38 | 0.00\% | 9.33\% | 95850254791 | 0 | 0.00 | 11.35 |
| 2.7y | 5400 | y | 0 | 4200 | 18933.38 | 0 | 18933.38 | 0.00\% | 9.33\% | $3.33985 \mathrm{E}+11$ | 0 | 0.00 | 11.35 |
| 2.8y | 5400 | y | 0 | 14200 | 18933.38 | 0 | 18933.38 | 0.00\% | 9.33\% | 3.81773E+12 | 0 | 0.00 | 11.35 |


| $1^{\text {st }}$ Story |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Earthquake |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wall <br> No | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{L} \\ & (\mathrm{~mm}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Direction | $\mathrm{Yi}(\mathrm{mm})$ | Xi(mm) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{K} \\ & (\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Kx} \\ & (\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ky } \\ & (\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{mm}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1kN x\% | 1kN y\% | ky dx^2 | kx dy^2 | F <br> (kN) <br> Wx | F <br> (kN) <br> Wy |
| 1.1x | 10400 | X | 5200 | 0 | 40427.11 | 40427.11 | 0 | 11.66\% | 0.00\% | 0 | $1.09315 \mathrm{E}+12$ | 9.57 | 0.00 |
| 1.2x | 10400 | X | 120 | 0 | 40427.11 | 40427.11 | 0 | 11.66\% | 0.00\% | 0 | 582150415.4 | 9.57 | 0.00 |
| 1.3 x | 10400 | X | 60 | 0 | 40427.11 | 40427.11 | 0 | 11.66\% | 0.00\% | 0 | 145537603.9 | 9.57 | 0.00 |
| 1.4x | 10400 | x | 5200 | 0 | 40427.11 | 40427.11 | 0 | 11.66\% | 0.00\% | 0 | $1.09315 \mathrm{E}+12$ | 9.57 | 0.00 |
| 1.5 x | 4000 | x | 6600 | 0 | 11603.40 | 11603.40 | 0 | 3.35\% | 0.00\% | 0 | $5.05444 \mathrm{E}+11$ | 2.75 | 0.00 |
| 1.6 x | 4000 | X | 5400 | 0 | 11603.40 | 11603.40 | 0 | 3.35\% | 0.00\% | 0 | $3.38355 \mathrm{E}+11$ | 2.75 | 0.00 |
| 1.7 x | 10400 | x | 5200 | 0 | 40427.11 | 40427.11 | 0 | 11.66\% | 0.00\% | 0 | $1.09315 \mathrm{E}+12$ | 9.57 | 0.00 |
| 1.8 x | 10400 | x | 120 | 0 | 40427.11 | 40427.11 | 0 | 11.66\% | 0.00\% | 0 | 582150415.4 | 9.57 | 0.00 |
| 1.9x | 10400 | x | 60 | 0 | 40427.11 | 40427.11 | 0 | 11.66\% | 0.00\% | 0 | 145537603.9 | 9.57 | 0.00 |
| 1.10x | 10400 | x | 5200 | 0 | 40427.11 | 40427.11 | 0 | 11.66\% | 0.00\% | 0 | $1.09315 \mathrm{E}+12$ | 9.57 | 0.00 |
| 1.19 | 5400 | y | 0 | 14200 | 18933.38 | 0 | 18933.38 | 0.00\% | 9.33\% | $3.81773 \mathrm{E}+12$ | 0 | 0.00 | 7.65 |
| 1.2 y | 5400 | y | 0 | 4200 | 18933.38 | 0 | 18933.38 | 0.00\% | 9.33\% | $3.33985 \mathrm{E}+11$ | 0 | 0.00 | 7.65 |
| $1.3 y$ | 5400 | $y$ | 0 | 2250 | 18933.38 | 0 | 18933.38 | 0.00\% | 9.33\% | 95850254791 | 0 | 0.00 | 7.65 |
| 1.4 y | 12000 | $y$ | 0 | 2125 | 44690.51 | 0 | 44690.51 | 0.00\% | 22.02\% | $2.01806 \mathrm{E}+11$ | 0 | 0.00 | 18.07 |
| $1.5 y$ | 12000 | y | 0 | 2125 | 44690.51 | 0 | 44690.51 | 0.00\% | 22.02\% | $2.01806 \mathrm{E}+11$ | 0 | 0.00 | 18.07 |
| $1.6 y$ | 5400 | y | 0 | 2250 | 18933.38 | 0 | 18933.38 | 0.00\% | 9.33\% | 95850254791 | 0 | 0.00 | 7.65 |
| 1.7 y | 5400 | y | 0 | 4200 | 18933.38 | 0 | 18933.38 | 0.00\% | 9.33\% | $3.33985 \mathrm{E}+11$ | 0 | 0.00 | 7.65 |
| 1.8 y | 5400 | y | 0 | 14200 | 18933.38 | 0 | 18933.38 | 0.00\% | 9.33\% | 3.81773E+12 | 0 | 0.00 | 7.65 |


| G Story |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Earthquake |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wall <br> No | L (mm) | Direction | Yi(mm) | Xi(mm) | K (N/mm) | Kx (N/mm) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{Ky} \\ & (\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}) \end{aligned}$ | 1kN x\% | 1kN y\% | ky dx^2 | kx dy^2 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F}(\mathrm{kN}) \\ & \mathrm{Wx} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{F}(\mathrm{kN}) \\ & \mathrm{Wy} \end{aligned}$ |
| G.1x | 10400 | x | 5200 | 0 | 40427.11 | 40427.11 | 0 | 11.66\% | 0.00\% | 0 | $1.09315 \mathrm{E}+12$ | 4.95 | 0.00 |
| G.2x | 10400 | x | 60 | 0 | 40427.11 | 40427.11 | 0 | 11.66\% | 0.00\% | 0 | 145537603.9 | 4.95 | 0.00 |
| G.3x | 10400 | X | 120 | 0 | 40427.11 | 40427.11 | 0 | 11.66\% | 0.00\% | 0 | 582150415.4 | 4.95 | 0.00 |
| G.4x | 10400 | X | 5200 | 0 | 40427.11 | 40427.11 | 0 | 11.66\% | 0.00\% | 0 | $1.09315 \mathrm{E}+12$ | 4.95 | 0.00 |
| G.5x | 4000 | X | 6600 | 0 | 11603.40 | 11603.40 | 0 | 3.35\% | 0.00\% | 0 | $5.05444 \mathrm{E}+11$ | 1.42 | 0.00 |
| G.6x | 4000 | x | 5400 | 0 | 11603.40 | 11603.40 | 0 | 3.35\% | 0.00\% | 0 | $3.38355 \mathrm{E}+11$ | 1.42 | 0.00 |
| G.7x | 10400 | x | 5200 | 0 | 40427.11 | 40427.11 | 0 | 11.66\% | 0.00\% | 0 | $1.09315 \mathrm{E}+12$ | 4.95 | 0.00 |
| G.8x | 10400 | x | 120 | 0 | 40427.11 | 40427.11 | 0 | 11.66\% | 0.00\% | 0 | 582150415.4 | 4.95 | 0.00 |
| G.9x | 10400 | x | 60 | 0 | 40427.11 | 40427.11 | 0 | 11.66\% | 0.00\% | 0 | 145537603.9 | 4.95 | 0.00 |
| G.10x | 10400 | X | 5200 | 0 | 40427.11 | 40427.11 | 0 | 11.66\% | 0.00\% | 0 | $1.09315 \mathrm{E}+12$ | 4.95 | 0.00 |
| G.1y | 5400 | y | 0 | 14200 | 18933.38 | 0 | 18933.38 | 0.00\% | 9.33\% | $3.81773 \mathrm{E}+12$ | 0 | 0.00 | 3.96 |
| G.2y | 5400 | y | 0 | 4200 | 18933.38 | 0 | 18933.38 | 0.00\% | 9.33\% | $3.33985 \mathrm{E}+11$ | 0 | 0.00 | 3.96 |
| G.3y | 5400 | y | 0 | 2250 | 18933.38 | 0 | 18933.38 | 0.00\% | 9.33\% | 95850254791 | 0 | 0.00 | 3.96 |
| G.4y | 12000 | y | 0 | 2125 | 44690.51 | 0 | 44690.51 | 0.00\% | 22.02\% | $2.01806 \mathrm{E}+11$ | 0 | 0.00 | 9.34 |
| G.5y | 12000 | y | 0 | 2125 | 44690.51 | 0 | 44690.51 | 0.00\% | 22.02\% | $2.01806 \mathrm{E}+11$ | 0 | 0.00 | 9.34 |
| G.6y | 5400 | y | 0 | 2250 | 18933.38 | 0 | 18933.38 | 0.00\% | 9.33\% | 95850254791 | 0 | 0.00 | 3.96 |
| G.7y | 5400 | y | 0 | 4200 | 18933.38 | 0 | 18933.38 | 0.00\% | 9.33\% | $3.33985 \mathrm{E}+11$ | 0 | 0.00 | 3.96 |
| G.8y | 5400 | y | 0 | 14200 | 18933.38 | 0 | 18933.38 | 0.00\% | 9.33\% | $3.81773 \mathrm{E}+12$ | 0 | 0.00 | 3.96 |
| $\Sigma(\mathrm{m})$ | 548.00 |  | 3.49 | 5.18 | $\Sigma$ | 1218407.87 | 817045.99 |  |  |  |  | 407.47 | 407.47 |

Table 58: Distribution of Earthquake load on walls.

| Level 3 Kx <br> $\mathbf{( N / m m})$ | Level 3 Ky <br> $(\mathbf{N} / \mathbf{m m})$ | Level 2 Kx <br> $(\mathbf{N} / \mathbf{m m})$ | Level 2 Ky <br> $(\mathbf{N} / \mathbf{m m})$ | Level 2 Kx <br> $\mathbf{( N / m m )}$ | Level 2 Ky <br> $(\mathbf{N} / \mathbf{m m})$ | Level 1 Kx <br> $(\mathbf{N} / \mathbf{m m})$ | Level 1 Ky <br> $(\mathbf{N} / \mathbf{m m})$ | Ground <br> Kx <br> $(\mathbf{N} / \mathbf{m m})$ | Ground <br> Ky <br> $(\mathbf{N} / \mathbf{m m})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 178536.76 | 208102.02 | 346623.70 | 202981.33 | 346623.70 | 202981.33 | 346623.70 | 202981.33 | 346623.70 | 202981.33 |

Table 59: Individual level stiffnesses
We obtain the drift for Earthquake loading

|  | Drift $\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{m m})$ | Drift y (mm) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Roof | 0.90 | 0.78 |
| Third Floor | 1.59 | 1.36 |
| Second Floor | 1.05 | 1.80 |
| First Floor | 1.18 | 2.01 |
| Max Drift | 1.59 | 2.01 |
| Total Drift | 4.72 | 5.94 |
| Limit, L/300 | 11.33 | okay |

Table 60: Drift check
Using the relative wall lengths, finding $\mathrm{V}^{*}$ is possible

| Floor | $\mathbf{1 x}$ | $\mathbf{2 x}$ | $\mathbf{3 x}$ | $\mathbf{4 x}$ | $\mathbf{5} \mathbf{x}$ | $\mathbf{6 x}$ | $\mathbf{7 x}$ | $\mathbf{8 x}$ | $\mathbf{9 x}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 x}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $R$ | 10.80 | 5.40 | 5.40 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 10.80 | 5.40 | 5.40 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 10.40 | 10.40 | 10.40 | 10.40 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 10.40 | 10.4 | 10.40 | 10.40 |
| 2 | 10.40 | 10.40 | 10.40 | 10.40 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 10.40 | 10.4 | 10.40 | 10.40 |
| 1 | 10.40 | 10.40 | 10.40 | 10.40 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 10.40 | 10.4 | 10.40 | 10.40 |

Table 61: Wall lengths

| $\boldsymbol{H}(\mathbf{m})$ | Wall | $\mathbf{1 x}$ | $\mathbf{2 x}$ | $\mathbf{3 x}$ | $\mathbf{4 x}$ | $\mathbf{5 x}$ | $\mathbf{6 x}$ | $\mathbf{7 x}$ | $\mathbf{8 x}$ | $\mathbf{9 x}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 x}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 13.6 | R | 36.52 | 22.07 | 11.58 | 10.48 | 10.48 | 36.52 | 22.07 | 11.58 | 0 | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| 10.2 | 3 | 50.72 | 25.22 | 19.98 | 24.67 | 14.56 | 40.60 | 36.26 | 19.98 | 14.19 | 14.19 |
| 6.8 | 2 | 60.29 | 34.80 | 29.55 | 34.25 | 17.30 | 43.34 | 45.83 | 29.55 | 23.76 | 23.76 |
| 3.4 | 1 | 65.24 | 39.74 | 34.50 | 39.19 | 18.72 | 44.77 | 50.78 | 34.50 | 28.71 | 28.71 |

Table 62: Cumulative forces calculated from earthquakes loads
Table 63: Moments calculated from earthquake loading

| Wall | $\mathbf{1 x}$ | $\mathbf{2 x}$ | $\mathbf{3 x}$ | $\mathbf{4 x}$ | $\mathbf{5 x}$ | $\mathbf{6 x}$ | $\mathbf{7 x}$ | $\mathbf{8 x}$ | $\mathbf{9 x}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 x}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| R M <br> $(\mathrm{kNm})$ | 124.18 | 75.03 | 39.36 | 35.64 | 35.64 | 124.18 | 75.03 | 39.36 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| F3 M <br> $(\mathrm{kNm})$ | 296.62 | 160.79 | 107.29 | 119.54 | 85.14 | 262.22 | 198.31 | 107.29 | 48.25 | 48.25 |
| F2 M <br> (kNm) | 501.59 | 279.10 | 207.76 | 235.97 | 143.97 | 409.59 | 354.12 | 207.76 | 129.04 | 129.04 |
| F1 M <br> $(\mathrm{kNm})$ | 723.39 | 414.23 | 325.06 | 369.23 | 207.63 | 561.79 | 526.77 | 325.06 | 226.66 | 226.66 |


| $\mathbf{H}$ | Floor | $\mathbf{3 y}$ | $\mathbf{4 y}$ | $\mathbf{3 y}$ | $\mathbf{4 y}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 13.6 | R | 34.64 | 34.64 | 117.78 | 117.78 |
| 10.2 | 3 | 61.43 | 61.43 | 326.64 | 326.64 |
| 6.8 | 2 | 79.50 | 79.50 | 596.93 | 596.93 |
| 3.4 | 1 | 88.84 | 88.84 | 898.98 | 898.98 |

Table 64: Y direction Forces and Moments
Final Critical Shear and moment found as:
$\mathrm{V}^{*}=88.84 \mathrm{kN}$
$\mathrm{M}^{*}=898.98 \mathrm{kNm}$

## Shear Wall Actions

The shear wall dimensions are tabulated based on the drawings:

| Shear wall dimensions |  |  | $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{m m})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{t}_{\text {eff }}(\mathbf{m m})$ | 10400 | $\mathbf{H m})$ | Wall 1 |
| 90 | $\mathbf{t}_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathbf{m m})$ |  |  |

Table 65: Shear wall Dimensions
CLT Shear Wall (In Plane bending)
$I=\frac{d_{o} b^{3}}{12}$

| $\mathbf{B}_{\text {eff }}$ <br> $(\mathbf{m m})$ | Panel | $\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{m m})$ | $\mathbf{t}_{\text {eff }}(\mathbf{m m})$ | $\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathrm{mm})$ | $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{M P a})$ | $\mathbf{A c}$ <br> $\left(\mathbf{m m}^{2}\right)$ | $\mathbf{l}_{\text {eff }}\left(\mathbf{m m}^{4}\right)$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {eff }}$ <br> $\left(\mathbf{N m m}^{2}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1000 | $\mathrm{CL3} / 125$ | 45 | 90 | 40 | 8000 | 45000 | $2.9478 \mathrm{E}+11$ | $2.358 \mathrm{E}+15$ |

Table 66: Effective stiffness of CLT walls in plane with lateral loading
Therefore, the section bending capacity can be calculated as follows:
$\phi \mathrm{M}=\phi_{b} k_{1} k_{4} k_{6} k_{9} k_{12} f_{b} Z_{e f f}$

| $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ | $\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | $\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{6}}$ | $\mathbf{k}_{\boldsymbol{9}}$ | $\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{1 2}}$ | $\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{b}}$ <br> $(\mathbf{M P a})$ | $\mathbf{Z}_{\text {eff }}\left(\mathbf{m m}^{\mathbf{3}}\right)$ | $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \mathbf{M}$ <br> $\mathbf{( K N m})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.85 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 14 | $1.734 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 2063.46 |

Table 67: Analysis of the moment capacity in the direction of lateral loads
Check if greater then $\mathrm{M}^{*}=$ true, Okay

## 1. Shearing-off failure of the boards along a joint

a) Calculate shear capacity along joints

$$
\Phi f s=\phi k_{1} 4 k_{4} \mathrm{k}_{6} f_{s, 90}
$$

| $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ | $\mathbf{k}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{k}_{4}$ | $\mathbf{k}_{6}$ | $\mathbf{f}_{5,90}(\mathbf{M P a})$ | $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \mathbf{f s}$ (MPa) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.2 | 0.96 |

Table 68: Shear capacity of joints
b) Calculate shearing off failure

$$
\tau_{0}=\frac{V^{*}}{A_{0}}
$$

| $\mathbf{V}^{*}(\mathrm{KN})$ | $\mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathrm{mm})$ | $\mathbf{L}(\mathrm{mm})$ | $\mathrm{A}_{\boldsymbol{0}}\left(\mathrm{mm}^{2}\right)$ | $\boldsymbol{\tau 0}=\boldsymbol{\tau} 90(\mathrm{MPa})$ | Check |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 88.84 | 45 | 10400 | 468000 | 0.000189829 | 0.000197739 |

Table 69: Checking if the wall is safe from shearing off failure
2. Calculate the internal Torsional stress

$$
\tau_{T D}=\frac{3 M_{T D}}{n_{k} a^{3}}
$$

| $\mathbf{M}_{\text {td }}(\mathbf{K N m})$ | $\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{s}}$ | $\mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{f}}$ | $\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{k}}$ | $\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{m m})$ | $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\text {TD }}$ | Check |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 898.98 | 3 | 490 | 1470 | 140 | 0.668605343 | 0.696463899 |

Table 70: Checking the if the wall is safe from internal torsional stress

## Diaphragm Actions



| Resultant forces |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{W} 1(\mathrm{kN})$ | 65.24 |
| W2 $(\mathrm{kN})$ | 74.25 |
| W3 kN$)$ | 39.18 |
| Total | 178.67 |
| Floor Length $(\mathrm{m})$ | 10.8 |
| Line Load (KN/m) | 16.54 |

Table 71: Calculating the Resultant line load on diaphragm

| teff (mm) | 135 |
| :--- | :--- |
| beff (mm) | 2000 |
| $\mathrm{~L}(\mathrm{~mm})$ | 5400 |

Table 72: Diaphragm Dimensions

| $\mathbf{M}^{*}$ (KNm) | 241.21 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{V}^{*}$ (KN) | 89.34 |

Table 73: Diaphragm Actions

| Ei (MPa) | teff (mm) | beff (mm) | leff (mm4) | Eleff (Nmm2) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 8000 | 135 | 2000 | 90000000000 | $7.2 \mathrm{E}+14$ |

Table 74: Section properties of diaphragm
$\phi \mathrm{M}=\phi_{b} k_{1} k_{4} k_{6} k_{9} k_{12} f_{b} Z_{e f f}$

| $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ | $\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{k}_{4}$ | $\mathbf{k}_{6}$ | $\mathbf{k}_{\boldsymbol{9}}$ | $\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{1 2}}$ | $\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{b}}$ <br> $(\mathbf{M P a})$ | $\mathbf{Z}_{\text {eff }}(\mathbf{m m} 3)$ | $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \mathbf{M}$ <br> $(\mathbf{K N m})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.85 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 14 | $9.000 \mathrm{E}+07$ | 1071.00 |

Table 75: Shearing off failure along a joint
$\Phi \mathrm{fs}=\phi k_{1} 4 k_{4} \mathrm{k}_{6} f_{s, 90}$

| $\boldsymbol{\varphi s}$ | $\mathbf{k}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{k}_{4}$ | $\mathbf{k}_{6}$ | $\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{5}, 0}(\mathbf{M P a})$ | $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \mathbf{f s}(\mathbf{M P a})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.2 | 0.96 |

Table 76: Diaphragm shear check
$\tau_{0}=\frac{V^{*}}{A_{0}}$

| $\mathbf{V}^{*}(\mathbf{K N})$ | ti $(\mathbf{m m})$ | $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{m m})$ | Ao $(\mathbf{m m 2})$ | $\boldsymbol{\tau 0}=\boldsymbol{\tau} 90(\mathrm{MPa})$ | Check |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 89.335 | 45 | 5400 | 243000 | 0.000367634 | 0.000383 |

Table 77: Shear stress along Joints

$$
\tau_{T D}=\frac{3 M_{T D}}{n_{k} a^{3}}
$$

| $\mathbf{M}_{\text {td }}(\mathbf{K N m})$ | $\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{s}}$ | $\mathbf{n}_{\boldsymbol{f}}$ | $\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{k}}$ | $\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{m m})$ | $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\text {TD }}$ | Check |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 241.2045 | 3 | 1186 | 3558 | 140 | 0.074117 | 0.077205 |

Table 78: Torsional shear capacity

## Connections

Screw Design - Withdrawal
Following (Spax EC5 V09.2015) design guide withdrawal resistance is determined by:

1. Withdrawal failure of thread in wall member

2. Head pull-through failure in floor member
3. Tensile failure of steel

Use Spax Fastener nom diameter 8mm Countersunk head with washer with a penetration depth of 200 mm .

| Withdrawal Failure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| neff | fax,k (N/mm2) | $d 1(\mathrm{~mm})$ | leff (mm) | $p(\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m} 3)$ | $\phi$ | fax,rk (KN) |  |  |
| 1 | 12 |  | 8 | 200 | 465 | 0 |  |  |

Table 79: Capacity of single fastener withdrawal Failure

| Head Pull Through Failure |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| neff | $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m} 3)$ | $\mathrm{dh}(\mathrm{mm})$ | fhead, $\mathrm{k}(\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{mm} 2)$ | fax,rk (KN) |  |
| 1 | 465 | 20 |  | 14 | 7.03 |

Table 80: Capacity of single fastener head pull through Failure

Screw Design - Shear Failure
The characteristic value of shear resistance to Eurocode 5 of a connection with SPAX fasteners is determined by comparison of 6 failure modes:

| Failure mode (KN) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| a) Fv,Rk,a (KN) | b) Fv,Rk,b(KN) | c) Fv,Rk, c (KN) | d) Fv,Rk,d (KN) | e) Fv,Rk,e (KN) | f) Fv,Rk,f(KN) | Critical Shear <br> Failure (KN) |
| 36.78 | 11.31 | 16.16 | 13.26 | 3.01 | 3.87 | 3.01 |

Table 81: Results from the six shear failure modes
Design capacity in accordance with AS1720.1
Rdj $=\varphi^{*} \mathrm{k}^{*}{ }^{*} \mathrm{k} 13^{*} \mathrm{k} 14 * \mathrm{k} 17{ }^{*} \mathrm{n}^{*} \mathrm{Qk}$

| $\operatorname{Rdj}(\mathrm{KN})$ | $\mathrm{V}^{*}(\mathrm{KN})$ | Wall Length | $\mathrm{V}^{*} / \mathrm{m}$ | Minimum <br> Spacing (m) | Adopted <br> Spacing (mm) |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 4.58337 | 88.84 | 10.4 | 9.00 | 1.16 | 500 |

Table 82: Fastener Shear Capacity and minimum spacing in accordance with AS1720.1

Bracket Design - Shear Failure
$\varphi \operatorname{Ndj}=\varphi^{*} \mathrm{k} 1^{*} \mathrm{k} 13^{*} \mathrm{k} 14{ }^{*} \mathrm{n}^{*} \mathrm{Qk}$
$\varphi=0.8$
$k 1=1.14$
$k 13=1$
$k 14=1$
Qk $=70 \mathrm{KN}$ - From connection manufacturer
(Rotho Titan TTF 200 selected)
Table : Fastener Shear Capacity and number of brackets.


2 Connections @ 5.2m minimum spacing required.

Bracket Design - Tension Failure
$\varphi N d j=\varphi^{*}$ Qk
$\varphi=0.8$
Qk $=31.4 \mathrm{KN}$ - From connection manufacturer
(Rotho Titan WHT 340 selected)
$\varphi N d j=25.12 \mathrm{KN} /$ connection
$\mathrm{V}^{*}=88.84 \mathrm{KN}$
Therefore 4 Connections @ 2.6 m minimum spacing required.

| Design angle bracket fastener in shear (Rotho Titan TTF 200 selected) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\varphi$ | k1 | k13 | k14 | n | Qk (KN) | $\varphi$ Ndj (KN) | V $^{*}$ (KN) | No Brackets |  |  |  |
| 0.8 | 1.14 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 70 | 63.84 | 88.84 |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Appendix 1 External and Internal pressure coefficient calcs

## External Pressure Coefficients

Determining what the coefficients are for each wall will be used to figure out the external pressure on each surface. The North and West direction must be considered for both buildings.

$$
C_{\text {fig,e }}=C_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{e}} K_{\mathrm{a}} K_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{e}} K_{l} K_{\mathrm{p}}
$$

Windward Wall <T5.2A>
$\mathrm{h}<25 \mathrm{~m} \quad \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{pe}}=0.8$ (taken as $\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{h}$ )
<5.4.1>

| Dimensions | $\mathbf{4}$ Story | 2 Story |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Story height $(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 8}$ |
| h $(\mathrm{m})$ | 13.835 | 7.035 |
| d North $(\mathrm{m})$ | 28.5 | 26.6 |
| d West $(\mathrm{m})$ | 10.8 | 10.8 |
| b North $(\mathrm{m})$ | 10.8 | 10.8 |
| b West $(\mathrm{m})$ | 28.5 | 26.6 |

Ratios of the height and breath of the buildings must be considered:

| Direction | Building | h/d | d/b |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Northern wind | 4story | 0.49 | 2.64 |
|  | 2story | 0.26 | 2.46 |
|  | 4story | 1.28 | 0.38 |
|  | 2story | 0.65 | 0.41 |

## Leeward Wall <T5.2B>

Coefficients for leeward wall are found considering the roof:
$\alpha=5^{\circ}<10^{\circ}$

| Direction | Building | Cpe |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Northern wind | 4story | -0.27 |
|  | 2story | -0.28 |
| Western Wind | 4story | -0.5 |
|  | 2story | -0.5 |

## Side walls <T5.2C>

Coefficients for the side wall are found, where distances occur from windward edge of building.

| 4 Story | Distance along surface $(\mathrm{m})$ | Cpe |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Northern wind | $0-13.84$ | -0.65 |
| $0-\mathrm{h}$ | $13.84-27.68$ | -0.5 |
| $\mathrm{~h}-2 \mathrm{~h}$ | $27.68-28.5$ | -0.3 |
| $2 \mathrm{~h}-3 \mathrm{~h}$ |  |  |
| $3 \mathrm{~h}+$ |  | 4 Story |
|  | Distance along surface $(\mathrm{m})$ | Cpe |
| Western Wind | $0-13.84$ | -0.65 |
| $0-\mathrm{h}$ | only goes up to 10.8 |  |
|  |  |  |


| 2 Story | Distance along surface $\mathbf{( m )}$ | Cpe |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Northern wind | $0-7 . .04$ | -0.65 |
| $0-\mathrm{h}$ | $7.04-14.08$ | -0.5 |
| $\mathrm{~h}-2 \mathrm{~h}$ | $14.08-21.12$ | -0.3 |
| 2h-3h | $21.12-26.6$ | -0.2 |
| 3h+ | Distance along surface $(\mathbf{m})$ | Cpe |
| Western Wind | $0-7 . .04$ | -0.65 |
| $0-\mathrm{h}$ | $7.04-10.8$ | -0.5 |
| h-2h |  |  |

## Roof <T5.3A>

Roof coefficients are found, distances are occurring from windward edge.

| 4 Story | Distance $(\mathbf{m})$ | Cpe | Cpe |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Northern wind | $0-6.92$ | -0.9 | -0.4 |
| $0-0.5 \mathrm{~h}$ | $6.92-13.84$ | -0.9 | -0.4 |
| $0.5 \mathrm{~h}-\mathrm{h}$ | $13.84-27.68$ | -0.5 | 0 |
| h-2h | $27.68-28.5$ | -0.3 | 0.1 |
| 2h-3h |  | -0.2 | 0.2 |
| 3h+ | Distance $(\mathbf{m})$ | Cpe | Cpe |
| Western Wind | $0-6.92$ | -0.9 | -0.4 |
| $0-0.5 \mathrm{~h}$ | $6.92-13.84$ | -0.9 | -0.4 |
| $0.5 \mathrm{~h}-\mathrm{h}$ |  |  |  |


| 2 Story | Distance | Cpe | Cpe |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Northern wind | $0-3.52$ | -0.9 | -0.4 |
| $0-0.5 h$ | $3.52-7.04$ | -0.9 | -0.4 |
| $0.5 h-h$ | $7.04-14.08$ | -0.5 | 0 |
| h-2h | $14.08-21.12$ | -0.3 | 0.1 |
| $2 h-3 h$ | $21.12-26.6$ | -0.2 | 0.2 |
| $3 h+$ | Distance | Cpe | Cpe |
| Western Wind | $0-3.52$ | -0.9 | -0.4 |
| $0-0.5 h$ | $3.52-7.04$ | -0.9 | -0.4 |
| $0.5 h-h$ | $7.04-14.08$ | -0.5 | 0 |
| h-2h |  |  |  |

<5.4.2>
Area reduction factor for roof and side walls is determined.

## Ka=1 conservative

<T5.5>
Action combination factor is determined from case $b$ where 4 effective surfaces are utilised. Pressure from windward and leeward walls in comination with roof pressure and internal pressures.

Kce $=0.8=\mathrm{Kci}$
<T5.6>
Kp=1 assume non permeable cladding or roof porosity

$$
\text { Cfig, } e=\text { Cpe } \times 1 \times K c, e \times 1 \times 1
$$

Using the above coefficients, the aerodynamic shape factor for external pressure can be found and summarised in the tables below:

Windward wall

|  | Cpe | Cfige |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All cases | 0.8 | $\mathbf{0 . 6 4}$ |

Leeward Wall

|  |  | Cpe | Cfige |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Northern wind | 4story | -0.27 | -0.2144 |
|  | 2story | -0.28 | -0.2216 |
| Western Wind | 4story | -0.5 | -0.4 |
|  | 2story | -0.5 | -0.4 |

Side walls

| 4 Story | Distance along <br> surface $(\mathrm{m})$ | Cpe | Cfige |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0-h | $0-13.84$ | -0.65 | -0.52 |
| h-2h | $13.84-27.68$ | -0.5 | -0.4 |
| 2h-3h | $27.68-28.5$ | -0.3 | -0.24 |
| $3 \mathrm{~h}+$ |  |  |  |
| 4 Story |  |  |  |
| Western Wind | Distance along <br> surface $(\mathrm{m})$ | Cpe | Cfige |
| 0-h | 0-13.84 | -0.65 | $\mathbf{- 0 . 5 2}$ |
|  | only goes up to 10.8 |  | $\mathbf{0}$ |


| 2 Story |  |  | Distance along <br> surface $(\mathrm{m})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Northern wind | $0-7.04$ | Cpe | Cfige |
| 0-h | $7.04-14.08$ | -0.65 | -0.52 |
| h-2h | $14.08-21.12$ | -0.5 | -0.4 |
| $2 h-3 h$ | -0.3 | -0.24 |  |
| $3 h+$ | $21.12-26.6$ | -0.2 | -0.16 |
| 2story |  |  |  |
| Western Wind | Distance along <br> surface $(\mathrm{m})$ | Cpe | Cfige |
| 0-h | $0-7.04$ | -0.65 | -0.52 |
| h-2h | $7.04-10.8$ | -0.5 | -0.4 |

Roof

| 4 Story |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Northern wind | Distance along surface (m) | Cpe | Cpe | Cfige | Cfige |
| 0-0.5h | 0-6.92 | -0.9 | -0.4 | -0.72 | -0.32 |
| 0.5h-h | 6.92-13.84 | -0.9 | -0.4 | -0.72 | -0.32 |
| h-2h | 13.84-27.68 | -0.5 | 0 | -0.4 | 0 |
| 2h-3h | 27.68-28.5 | -0.3 | 0.1 | -0.24 | 0.08 |
| 3h+ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Western Wind | Distance along surface (m) | Cpe | Cpe | Cfige | Cfige |
| 0-0.5h | 0-6.92 | -0.9 | -0.4 | -0.72 | -0.32 |
| 0.5h-h | 6.92-13.84 | -0.9 | -0.4 | -0.72 | -0.32 |
| h-2h |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2h-3h |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3h+ |  |  |  |  |  |


| 2 Story |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Northern wind | Distance along surface (m) | Cpe | Cpe | Cfige | Cfige |
| 0-0.5h | 0-3.52 | -0.9 | -0.4 | -0.72 | -0.32 |
| 0.5h-h | 3.52-7.04 | -0.9 | -0.4 | -0.72 | -0.32 |
| h-2h | 7.04-14.08 | -0.5 | 0 | -0.4 | 0 |
| 2h-3h | 14.08-21.12 | -0.3 | 0.1 | -0.24 | 0.08 |
| 3h+ | 21.12-26.6 | -0.2 | 0.2 | -0.16 | 0.16 |
| Western Wind | Distance along surface (m) | Cpe | Cpe | Cfige | Cfige |
| 0-0.5h | 0-3.52 | -0.9 | -0.4 | -0.72 | -0.32 |
| 0.5h-h | 3.52-7.04 | -0.9 | -0.4 | -0.72 | -0.32 |
| h-2h | 7.04-14.08 | -0.5 | 0 | -0.4 | 0 |
| 2h-3h |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3h+ |  |  |  |  |  |

## Internal Pressure Coefficients

Determining the internal pressure coefficients in conjunction with 4 cases considered can determine the internal pressure. Cases include the whole building sealed, all openings open, and each side open while others are closed.
<T5.5>
$\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{ci}}=0.8$
Case b as in External pressure.

## 4 Story Northern Wind

The combined areas of the openings were found per floor then per side of the building:

| Opening area <br> per floor | North $\left(\mathbf{m}^{\mathbf{2}}\right)$ | East $\left(\mathbf{m}^{\mathbf{2}}\right)$ | South $\left(\mathbf{m}^{\mathbf{2}}\right)$ | West $\left(\mathbf{m}^{\mathbf{2}}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| G | 32.91 | 26.48 | 23.46 | 5.6 |
| 1 | 25.665 | 12 | 25.665 | 20.08 |
| 2 | 25.665 | 12 | 25.665 | 20.08 |
| 3 | 15.9 | 13.075 | 15.9 | 6.675 |


| Building side | Total area of openings $\left(\mathbf{m}^{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{)}\right.$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Northern Side | 90.69 |
| East Side | 63.555 |
| South Side | 94.9 |
| West side | 52.435 |

The individual cases were considered as to which openings were sealed or open.

## Case 1: All closed

Consider all sealed, building effectively sealed and non opening windows

| $\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{pi}}$ | -0.2 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Case 2: All Openinings Open

Consider 4 sides opened
Dominant: North

|  | N/E |  | N/W |  | N/S |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ratio | 1.426953033 | 1.729569944 | 1.046421877 |  |  |  |
| Table values | -0.3 | 0 | -0.3 | 0 | -0.3 | 0 |
| Interpolated <br> values Cpi | -0.287 | -0.113 | -0.278 | -0.197 | -0.299 | -0.011 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{pi}}=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{pe}}$ | -0.27 | -0.27 | -0.27 | -0.27 | -0.27 | -0.27 |

## Case 3: North Open, others closed

| Cpi $=$ | Cpe | 0.8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Case 4: East Open, others closed

| Cpi $=$ | Cpe | -0.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Case 5: West Open, others closed

| Cpi $=$ | Cpe | -0.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Case 6: South Open, others closed

| Cpi $=$ | Cpe | -0.27 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

The coefficients can be used to find the external aerodynamic shape factor:
$C_{\text {figi }}=C_{p, i} k_{c, i}$
Aerodynamics shape factor for external pressures:

| Northern Wind |  | Acting location | Cfig i 1 | Cfig i 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Case 1 | None | Surface | -0.16 | 0 |
| Case 2 | N/E | Surface | -0.2296 | -0.0904 |
|  | N/W | Surface | -0.2224 | -0.1576 |
|  | N/S | Surface | -0.2392 | -0.0088 |
|  | N/0 | Surface | 0.64 | 0 |
|  | E/0 | 0-h | -0.52 | 0 |
|  |  | h-2h | -0.4 | 0 |
| Case 5 6 |  | W/0 | 0-h | -0.24 |

This procedure is now followed for the other wind directions producing the largest site wind speeds for both buildings.

## 4 Story Western Wind

Case 1: No openings open
Consider all sealed, building effectively sealed and non opening windows

| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{pi}}$ | -0.2 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Case 2: All Openinings Open

Consider 4 sides opened
Dominant: North

|  | N/E |  | N/W |  | N/S |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ratio | 1.426953033 | 1.729569944 | 1.046421877 |  |  |  |
| Table values | -0.1 | 0.2 | -0.1 | 0.2 | -0.1 | 0.2 |
| Interpolated <br> values Cpi | -0.3576 | -0.2184 | -0.4416 | -0.3744 | -0.2512 | -0.0208 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{pi}}=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{pe}}$ | -0.65 | -0.65 | -0.65 | -0.65 | -0.65 | -0.65 |

## Case 3: North Open

| Cpi $=$ | Cpe | -0.65 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Case 4: East Open | Cpe | -0.5 |
| Cpi $=$ |  |  |
| Case 5: West Open | Cpe | 0.8 |
| Cpi $=$ |  | -0.65 |
| Case 6: South Open | Cpe |  |
| Cpi $=$ |  |  |

$C_{\text {figi }}=C_{p, i} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{i}}$
Summary

| Western Wind |  | Acting location | Cfig i 1 | Cfig i 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Case 1 | None | Surface | -0.16 | 0 |
| Case 2 | N/E | $0-\mathrm{h}$ | -0.3576 | -0.2184 |
|  | N/W | $0-\mathrm{h}$ | -0.4416 | -0.3744 |
|  | N/S | $0-\mathrm{h}$ | -0.2512 | -0.0208 |
|  | N/0 | $0-\mathrm{h}$ | -0.52 |  |
|  |  | h-2h | -0.4 |  |
|  |  | 2h-3h | -0.24 |  |
|  | Ease 3 | surface | -0.4 | 0 |
| Case 5 | W/0 | surface | 0.64 | 0 |
| Case 6 | S/0 | 0-h | -0.52 |  |
|  |  | h-2h | -0.4 |  |
|  | 2h-3h | -0.24 |  |  |

## 2 Story Northern Wind

| Opening area | North $\left(\mathbf{m}^{\mathbf{2}}\right)$ | East $\left(\mathbf{m}^{\mathbf{2}}\right)$ | South $\left(\mathbf{m}^{\mathbf{2}}\right)$ | West $\left(\mathbf{m}^{\mathbf{2}}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| G | 17.83 | 18.32 | 23.49 | 20.715 |
| 1 | 17.83 | 11.6 | 23.49 | 20.715 |


| Building Side | Total area of openings $\left(\mathbf{m}^{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{)}\right.$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Northern Side | 35.66 |
| East Side | 33.77 |
| South Side | 46.98 |
| West Side | 41.43 |

## Case 1: No openings open

Consider all sealed, building effectively sealed and non opening windows

| $\mathbf{C}_{\text {pi }}$ | -0.2 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Case 2: All Openinings Open

Consider 4 sides opened
Dominant: South

|  | $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{E}$ |  | $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{W}$ |  | $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ratio | 1.3911756 |  | 1.133960898 | 1.317442513 |  |  |
| Table values | -0.3 | 0 | -0.3 | 0 | -0.3 | 0 |
| Interpolated <br> values Cpi | $-\mathbf{0 . 2 8 7}$ | $-\mathbf{0 . 1 5 8}$ | $-\mathbf{0 . 2 9 7}$ | $-\mathbf{0 . 0 3 6}$ | $-\mathbf{- 0 . 2 9 3}$ | $-\mathbf{0 . 0 8 9}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{pi}}=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{pe}}$ | -0.277 | -0.277 | -0.277 | -0.277 | -0.277 | -0.277 |

Case 3: North Open

| Cpi $=$ | Cpe | 0.8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Case 4: East Open | Cpe | -0.5 |
| Cpi $=$ |  |  |
| Case 5: West Open | Cpe | -0.5 |
| Cpi $=$ |  |  |
| Case 6: South Open | Cpe | -0.27 |
| Cpi $=$ |  |  |

## Summary:

| Northern Wind |  | Acting location | Cfig i 1 | Cfig i 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Case 1 | None | Surface | -0.16 | 0 |
| Case 2 | N/E | Surface | -0.2328 | -0.0904 |
|  | N/W | Surface | -0.2376 | -0.1576 |
|  | N/S | Surface | -0.2344 | -0.0088 |
| Case 3 4 | N/0 | Surface | 0.64 | 0 |
|  | E/0 | $0-\mathrm{h}$ | -0.52 | 0 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{~h}-2 \mathrm{~h}$ | -0.4 | 0 |
| Case 5 | Wh-3h | -0.24 | 0 |  |


|  |  | $\mathrm{h}-2 \mathrm{~h}$ | -0.4 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $2 \mathrm{~h}-3 \mathrm{~h}$ | -0.24 | 0 |
| Case 6 | $\mathrm{S} / 0$ | Surface | -0.216 | 0 |

## 2 Story Western Wind

## Case 1: No openings open

Consider all sealed, building effectively sealed and non opening windows

| $\mathbf{C l i m}$ | -0.2 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Case 2: All Openings Open
Consider 4 sides opened
Dominant: South

|  | S/E |  |  |  | S/W |  |  |  | S/N |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ratio | 1.3911756 |  |  |  | 1.133960898 |  |  |  | 1.317442513 |  |  |  |
| Table values | -0.3 | 0 | -0.3 | 0 | -0.3 | 0 | -0.3 | 0 | -0.3 | 0 | -0.3 | 0 |
| Interpolated values Cpi | -0.499 | -0.113 | -0.414 | -0.285 | -0.278 | -0.197 | -0.326 | -0.65 | -0.299 | -0.011 | -0.364 | -0.16 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{pi}}=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{pe}}$ | -0.65 | -0.65 | -0.5 | -0.5 | -0.65 | -0.65 | -0.5 | -0.5 | -0.65 | -0.65 | -0.5 | -0.5 |

Case 3: North Open

| Cpi $=$ | Cpe | -0.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Case 4: East Open | Cpe | -0.5 |
| Cpi $=$ |  |  |
| Case 5: West Open | Cpe | 0.8 |
| Cpi $=$ |  | -0.65 |
| Case 6: South Open | Cpe |  |
| Cpi $=$ |  |  |

Summary:

| Western Wind |  | Acting location | Cfig i 1 | Cfig i 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Case 1 | None | Surface | -0.16 | 0 |
| Case 2 | S/E | $0-\mathrm{h}$ | -0.3496 | -0.2032 |
|  |  | h-2h | -0.3312 | -0.228 |
|  | S/W | $0-\mathrm{h}$ | -0.276 | -0.0672 |
|  |  | h-2h | -0.2608 | -0.052 |
|  | S/N | $0-h$ | -0.3272 | -0.1608 |
|  |  | h-2h | -0.2912 | -0.128 |
| Case 3 | N/0 | $0-h$ | -0.52 | 0 |
|  |  | h-2h | -0.4 | 0 |
| Case 4 | E/O | hh-3h | -0.24 | 0 |
| Case 5 | W/O |  | -0.4 | 0 |


| Case 6 | S/0 | $0-\mathrm{h}$ | -0.52 | 0 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathrm{~h}-2 \mathrm{~h}$ | -0.4 | 0 |
|  |  | $2 \mathrm{~h}-3 \mathrm{~h}$ | -0.24 | 0 |


[^0]:    This project aims to chalenge common misconceptions around
    rrefabricated moduar housing being a method that forces us
    The conceptual framework of the project is defined by every reated as individually designed components that come together Uniaue opportunites for the apartment modules to be adaptable evouts and ease for transpoortationanand implemensataionianto phesinte

